

OR

## INDIAN HOME RULE

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ 

MAHATMA GANDHI

in Asmachandra

desta

RESEARCH

G. A. NATESAN

FOUR:

PRICE AS EIGHT

### MAHATMA GANDHI'S

#### SPEECHES AND WRITINGS

THIS omnibus Edition of Mahatma Gandhi's Speeches and Writings is revised and considerably enlarged with the addition of a number of important articles from Young India and Navajivan (rendered into The collection has over 1,100 pages of well arranged matter ranging over the whole period of Gandhiji's public life The volume begins with the South African Indian question and covers his views on indentured labour and Indians in the Colonies, his jail experiences in South Africa, his discourses on the Rowlett Bills and Satyagraha, and his Young India articles on the Non-Co-operation Movement including select papers on the Khilafat and Punjab wrongs, the Congress, Swadeshi, National Education and It also includes Mahatma Gandhi's famous letters to the three Viceroys-Lord Chelmsford, Lord Reading and Lord Irwin, his historic Statement the Court at the great trial, his Congress Presidential Address and other public utterances, his Speeches and Messages during his great march to the Salt pans of Dandi, the full text of his agreement with Lord Irwin and the Speeches implementing the Pact. Then there are his Speeches at the Second Round Table Conference followed by the Willingdon-Gandhi corres-Another Section contains his important sondence studies on the Hindu-Muslim question, as also the Statements on the Problem of Untouchability gives his reminiscences another Section of great Indians like Gokhale, Pherozeshah Mehta. Gangadhar Tilak, C R Das, etc The last ends with famous gramophone his record

**◇--**◇--◇--◇--◇--◇--◇--◇--◇--◇--◇--◇--

With numerous Illustrations and an Index
Cloth Bound Fourth Edition Rs 4 (Four)
To Subs of the "Indian Review" Rs 3-8
Foreign 6sh. Postage 1s. 6d.

Flooks are given at concession rates only to subs of "The Indian Review" Any one who wishes to buy books at concession rates must remit Rs 5 (Five) one year's subscription to the "Indian Review" in advance Foreign Subscriptions Great Britain 12 sh (Twelve Shillings), USA 3 Dollars.

G A NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

# HIND SWARAJ OR INDIAN HOME RULE

# MAHATMA GANDIH

WITH THE AUTHOR'S FOREWORD

FOURTH EDITION
G A. NATESAN & CO, MADRAS
PRICE EIGHT ANNAS

#### CONTENTS

CHAPTE	R		PAGE
	Hind Swaraj or Indian Home Rule		
	By M. K. Gandhi		vii
I	The Congress and its Officials		1
][	The Partition of Bengal		7
Ш	Discontent and Unrest		10
IV	What is Swaraj?		12
٧	The Condition of England	•••	15
VI	Civilization	•••	19
VII	Why was India Lost?		23
IIIV	The Condition of India	•	27
IX	Railways		30
$\mathbf{X}$	The Hindus and the Mahomedans	••	34
$\mathbf{x}$	Lawyers		41
XII	Doctors	•	45
IIIX	What is True Civilization?		48
XIV	How can India Become Free?	••	52
$\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$	Italy and India	•	55
XVI	Brute Force	•••	59
XVII	Passive Resistance		67
XVIII	Education		77
XIX	Machinery		84
$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$	Conclusion	•••	88

#### APPENDICES

I	Some	Authorities	•••	i
ŒI.	Testa	monies by Eminent Men		ıi
	1.	J. Seymour Keay	•••	ii
	2	Victor Cousin	•••	ini
	3.	Friedrich Max Muller	•••	iii
	4	Frederick Von Schlegel	•••	iii
	5	Abbe J. A. Dubois	***	iv
	6	J. Young	***	7
	7	Colonel Thomas Munro	***	V1
	8	Sir William Waddarhum	1	VI

#### HIND SWARAJ

OR

#### THE INDIAN HOME RULE

#### BY M. K GANDHI

T is certainly my good fortune that this booklet of mine is receiving wide attention The original is in Gujarati It had a chequered career It was first published in the columns of the Indian Opinion of South Africa It was written in 1908 during my return voyage from London to South Africa in answer to the Indian school of violence, and its prototype in South Africa I came in contact with every known Indian anarchist in London. Their bravery impressed me, but I feel that their zeal was misguided I felt that violence was no remedy for India's ills. and that her civilization required the use of a different and higher weapon for self-protection The Satyagraha of South Africa was still an infant hardly two years old But it had developed sufficiently to permit me to write of it with some degree of confidence It was so much appreciated that it was published as a booklet It attracted some attention in India. The Bombay Government prohibited Its circulation by publishing its translation I thought that it was due to my English friends that they should know its contents

In my opinion it is a book which can be put into the hands of a child It teaches the gospel of love in the place of that of hate It replaces violence with self-sacrifice It pits soul-force against brute-force It has gone through several editions and I commend it to those who would care to read it I withdraw nothing except one word of it, and that in deference to a lady friend

The booklet is a severe condemnation of 'modern civilization'. It was written in 1908 My conviction is deeper to-day than ever. I feel that if India would discard 'modern civilization', she can only gain by doing so

EDITOR That opinion is not justified Had not the Grand Old Man of India prepared the soil, our young men could not have even spoken about Home Rule How can we forget what Mr Hume has written, how he has lashed us into action, and with what effort he has awakened us, in order to achieve the objects of the Congress? Sir William Wedderburn has given his body, mind and money to the same cause His writings are worthy of perusal to this day Professor Gokhale, in older to prepare the Nation, embraced poverty and gave twenty years of his life Even now, he is living in poverty The late Justice Buddruddin Tyebji was also one of those who, through the Congress, sowed the seed of Home Rule Similarly, in Bengal, Madras, the Punjab and other places, there have been lovers of India and members of the Congress, both Indian and English

READER Stay, stay, you are going too far, you are straying away from my question I have asked you about Home or Self-Rule, you are discussing foreign rule I do not desire to hear English names, and you are giving me such names In these cucumstances, I do not think we can ever meet. I shall be pleased if you will confine yourself to Home Rule. All other wise talk will not satisfy me

EDITOR You are impatient I cannot afford to be likewise. If you will bear with me for a while, I think you will find that you will obtain what you want. Remember the old proverb that the tree does not grow in one day. The fact that you have checked me, and that you do not want to hear about the well-wishers of India, shows that, for you at any rate, Home Rule is yet far away If we had many like you, we would never

make any advance. This thought is worthy of your attention.

READER It seems to me that you simply want to put me off by talking round and round. Those whom you consider to be well-wishers of India are not such in my estimation. Why, then, should I listen to your discourse on such people? What has he whom you consider to be the father of the nation done for it? He says that the English Governors will do justice, and that we should co-operate with them

EDITOR I must tell you, with all gentleness, that it must be a matter of shame for us that you should speak about that great man, in terms of disrespect Just look at his work. He has dedicated his life to the service of India We have learned what we know from him was the respected Dadabhai who taught us that the English had sucked our life-blood What does it matter that, to-day, his trust is still in the English nation? Dadabhai less to be honoured because, in the exuberance of youth, we are prepared to go a step further? Are we. on that account, wiser than he? It is a mark of wisdom not to kick against the very step from which we have usen higher The removal of a step from a staircase brings down the whole of it When, out of infancy, we grow into youth, we do not despise infancy, but, on the contrary, we recall with affection the days of our childhood If, after many years of study, a teacher were to teach me something, and if I were to build a little more on the foundation laid by that teacher, I would not, on that account, be considered wiser than the teacher. He would always command my respect Such is the case with the Grand Old Man of India. We must admit that he is the author of Nationalism.

READER You have spoken well. I can now understand that we must look upon Mr. Dadabhai with respect Without him and men like him, we would probably not have the spirit that fires us. How can the same be said of Professor Gokhale? He has constituted himself a great friend of the English, he says that we have to learn a great deal from them, that we have to learn their political wisdom, before we can talk of Home Rule. I am tired of reading his speeches

EDITOR If you are tired, it only betrays your impa-We believe that those who are discontented with the slowness of their parents, and are angry because the parents would not run with their children, are considered disrespectful to their parents Professor Gokhale occupies the place of a parent What does it matter if he cannot run with us? A nation that is desirous of securing Home Rule cannot afford to despise its ancestors become useless, if we lack respect for our elders men with mature thoughts are capable of ruling themselves and not the hasty-tempered Moreover, how many Indians were there like Professor Gokhale, when he gave himself to Indian education? I verily believe that whatever Professor Gokhale does, he does with pure motives and with a view to serving India. His devotion to the Motherland is so great, that he would give his life for it, if necessary Whatever he says is said not to flatter anyone but because he believes it to be true We are bound, therefore, to entertain the highest regard for him

READER Are we, then, to follow him in every respect?

EDITOR I never said any such thing If we conscientiously differed from him, the learned Professor himself would advise us to follow the dictates of our

conscience rather than him. Our chief purpose is not to cry down his work, but to believe that he is infinitely greater than we, and to feel assured that compared with his work for India, ours is infinitesimal. Several newspapers write disrespectfully of him. It is our duty to protest against such writings. We should consider men like Professor Gokhale to be the pillars of Home Rule. It is a bad habit to say that another man's thoughts are bad and ours only are good and that those holding different views from ours are the enemies of the country.

READER I now begin to understand somewhat your meaning I shall have to think the matter over, but what you say about Mr Hume and Sir William Wedderburn is beyond comprehension

EDITOR The same rule holds good for the English as for the Indians I can never subscribe to the statement that all Englishmen are bad Many Englishmen desire Home Rule for India That the English people are somewhat more selfish than others is true, but that does not prove that every Englishman is bad We who seek justice will have to do justice to others. Sir William does not wish ill to India—that should be enough for us. As we proceed, you will see that, if we act justly, India will be sooner free. You will see, too, that if we shun every Englishman as an enemy, Home Rule will be delayed. But if we are just to them, we shall receive their support in our progress towards the goal.

READER All this seems to me at present to be simply nonsensical English support and the obtaining of Home Rule are two contradictory things. How can the English people tolerate Home Rule for us? But I do not want you to decide this question for me just yet. To pass time over it, is useless. When you have

shown how we can have Home Rule, perhaps, I shall understand your views. You have prejudiced me against you by discoursing on English help. I would, therefore, beseech you not to continue this subject

EDITOR I have no desire to do so That you are prejudiced against me is not a matter for much anxiety. It is well that I should say unpleasant things at the commencement, it is my duty patiently to try to remove your prejudice.

READER I like that last statement. It emboldens me to say what I like. One thing still puzzles me I do not understand how the Congress laid the foundation of Home Rule.

EDITOR Let us see The Congress brought together Indians from different parts of India, and enthused us with the idea of Nationality The Government used to look upon it with disfavour The Congress has always insisted that the Nation should control revenue and expenditure. It has always desired self-government after the Canadian model. Whether we can get it or not, whether we desire it or not, and whether there is not something more desirable, are different questions. All I have to show is that the Congress gave us a foretaste of Home Rule. To deprive it of the honour is not proper, and for us to do so would not only be ungrateful, but retard the fulfilment of our object. To treat the Congress as an institution inimical to our growth as a Nation would disable us from using that body.

#### CHAPTER II

#### THE PARTITION OF BENGAL

READER Considering the matter as you put it, it seems proper to say that the foundation of Home Rule was laid by the Congress But you will admit that it cannot be considered a real awakening When and how did the real awakening take place?

EDITOR The seed is never seen. It works underneath the ground, is itself destroyed, and the tree which rises above the ground is alone seen. Such is the case with the Congress. Yet, what you call the real awakening took place after the Partition of Bengal For this we have to be thankful to Lord Curzon At the time of the Partition, the people of Bengal reasoned with Lord Curzon, but, in the pride of power, he disregarded all their prayers—he took it for granted that Indians could only prattle, that they could never take any effective steps. He used insulting language, and, in the teeth of all opposition, partitioned Bengal That day may be considered to be the day of the partition of the British Empire. The shock the British power received through the Partition has never been equalled by any other act. This does not mean that the other injustices done to India are less glaring than that done by the Partition The salt-tax is not a small injustice. We shall see many such things later on But the people were ready to resist the Partition. At that time, the feeling ran high Many leading Bengalis were ready to lose their all They knew their power, hence the conflagration It is now well-nigh unquenchable; it is not necessary to quench it either. Partition will go, Bengal will be re-united, but the rift in the English barque will remain it must daily widen. India awakened is not likely to fall asleep. Demand for abrogation of Partition is tantamount to demand for Home Rule. Leaders in Bengal know this, British officials realise it. That is why Partition still remains. As time passes, the Nation is being forged. Nations are not formed in a day; the formation requires years.

READER What, in your opinion, are the results of Partition?

Hitherto we have considered that for EDITOR redress of grievances, we must approach the Throne, and, if we get no redress, we must sit still, except that we may still petition After the Partition, people saw that petitions must be backed up by force, and that they must be capable of suffering This new spirit must be considered to be the chief result of Partition. That spirit was seen in the outspoken writings in the Press That which the people said tremblingly and in secret began to be said and to be written publicly. The Swadeshi movement was mangurated People, young and old, used to run away at the sight of an English face, it now no longer awed them. They did not fear even a row, or being imprisoned Some of the best sons of India are at present This is something different from mere in banishment petitioning Thus are the people moved The spirit generated in Bengal has spread in the North to the Punjab, and, in the South, to Cape Comorin

READER Do you suggest any other striking result?
EDITOR The Partition has not only made a rift in the English ship, but has made it in ours also. Great events always produce great results. Our leaders are divided into two parties the Moderates

and the Extremists These may be considered as the slow party and the impatient party. Some call the Moderates the timid party, and the Extremists the bold party All interpret the two words according to their preconceptions. This much is certain—that there has arisen an enmity between the two. The one distrusts the other, and imputes motives. At the time of the Surat Congress, there was almost a fight. I think that this division is not a good thing for the country, but I think also that such divisions will not last long. It all depends upon the leaders how long they will last.

#### CHAPTER III

#### DISCONTENT AND UNREST

READER Then you consider Partition to be a cause of the awakening? Do you welcome the unrest which has resulted from it?

EDITOR When a man rises from sleep, he twists his limbs and is restless. It takes sometime before he is entirely awakened. Similarly, although the Partition has caused an awakening, the comatose has not yet disappeared. We are still twisting our limbs and still restless, and just as the state between sleep and awakening must be considered to be necessary, so may the present unrest in India be considered a necessary and, therefore, a proper state. The knowledge that there is unrest will, it is highly probable, enable us to outgrow it. Rising from sleep, we do not continue in a comatose state, but, according to our ability, sooner or later, we are completely restored to our senses. So shall we be free from the present unrest which no one likes.

READER What is the other form of unrest?

EDITOR Unrest is, in reality, discontent The latter is only now described as unrest. During the Congress-period it was labelled discontent, Mr Hume always said that the spread of discontent in India was necessary. This discontent is a very useful thing Solong as a man is contented with his present lot, so long is it difficult to persuade him to come out of it. Therefore it is that every reform must be preceded by discontent. We throw away things we have, only when we cease to like them. Such discontent has been

produced among us after reading the great works of Indians and Englishmen Discontent has led to unrest, and the latter has brought about many deaths, many imprisonments, many banishments. Such a state of things will still continue. It must be so. All these may be considered good signs, but they may also lead to had results

#### CHAPTER IV

#### WHAT IS SWARAJ?

READER I have now learnt what the Congress has done to make India one nation, how the Partition has caused an awakening, and how discontent and unrest have spread through the land I would now like to know your views on Swaray. I fear that our interpretation is not the same

EDITOR It is quite possible that we do not attach the same meaning to the term. You and I and all Indians are impatient to obtain Swaraj, but we are certainly not decided as to what it is. To drive the English out of India is a thought heard from many mouths, but it does not seem that many have properly considered why it should be so. I must ask you a question. Do you think that it is necessary to drive away the English, if we get all we want?

READER I should ask of them only one thing, that is "Please leave our country" If after they have complied with this request, their withdrawal from India means that they are still in India, I should have no objection Then we would understand that, in our language, the word "gone" is equivalent to "remained".

EDITOR Well then, let us suppose that the English have retired What will you do then?

READER That question cannot be answered at this stage. The state after withdrawal will depend largely upon the manner of it. If as you assume, they retire, it seems to me we shall still keep their constitution, and shall carry on the Government. If they simply retire for the asking, we should have an army, etc, ready at

hand. We should, therefore, have no difficulty in carrying on the Government.

EDITOR You may think so I do not But I will not discuss the matter just now. I have to answer your question, and that I can do well by asking you several questions. Why do you want to drive away the English?

READER Because India has become impoverished by their Government. They take away our money from year to year. The most important posts are reserved for themselves. We are kept in a state of slavery. They behave insolently towards us, and disregard our feelings.

EDITOR If they do not take our money away, become gentle, and give us responsible posts, would you still consider their presence to be harmful?

READER That question is useless. It is similar to the question whether there is any harm in associating with a tiger, if he changes his nature. Such a question is sheer waste of time. When a tiger changes his nature, Englishmen will change theirs. This is not possible, and to believe it to be possible is contiary to human experience.

EDITOR Supposing we get Self-Government similar to what the Canadians and the South Africans have, will it be good enough?

READER That question also is useless. We may get it when we have the same powers, we shall then hoist our own flag. As is Japan, so must India be. We must own our navy, our army, and we must have our own splendour, and then will India's voice ring through the world.

EDITOR You have well drawn the picture. In effect it means this that we want English rule without the Englishman You want the tiger's nature, but not the tiger, that is to say, you would make India English,

and when it becomes English, it will be called not Hindustan but Englistan. This is not the Swaraj that I want

READER I have placed before you my idea of Swara, as I think it should be If the education we have received be of any use, if the works of Spencer, Mill and others be of any importance, and if the English Parliament be the Mother of Parliaments, I certainly think that we should copy the English people and this, to such an extent, that, just as they do not allow others to obtain a footing in their country, so we should not allow them or others to obtain it in ours. What they have done in their own country has not been done in any other country. It is, therefore, proper for us to import their institutions. But now I want to know your views

EDITOR There is need for patience My views will develop of themselves in the course of this discourse. It is as difficult for me to understand the true nature of Swaraj as it seems to you to be easy. I shall, therefore, for the time being, content myself with endeavouring to show that what you call Swaraj is not truly Swaraj

#### CHAPTER V

#### THE CONDITION OF ENGLAND

READER Then from your statement, I deduce the Government of England is not desirable and not worth copying by us.

EDITOR Your deduction is justified The condition of England at present is pitiable. I pray to God that India may never be in that plight. That which you consider to be the Mother of Pailiaments is like a sterile woman and a prostitute. Both these are haish terms, but exactly fit the case. That Parliament has not yet of its own accord done a single good thing, hence I have compared it to a sterile woman. The natural condition of that Parliament is such that, without outside pressure, it can do nothing. It is like a prostitute because it is under the control of ministers who change from time to time. To-day it is under Mr. Asquith, to-morrow it may be under Mr. Balfour.

READER You have said this sarcastically The term "sterile woman" is not applicable. The Parliament, being elected by the people, must work under public pressure. This is its quality.

EDITOR You are mistaken Let us examine it a little more closely. The best men are supposed to be elected by the people. The members serve without pay and therefore, it must be assumed, only for the public weal. The electors are considered to be educated and, therefore, we should assume that they would not generally make mistakes in their choice. Such a Parliament should not need the spur of petitions or any other pressure. Its work should be so smooth that its

effect would be more apparent day by day. But, as a matter of fact, it is generally acknowledged that the members are hypocritical and selfish Each thinks of hisown little interest It is fear that is the guiding motive. What is done to-day may be undone to-morrow It is not possible to recall a single instance in which finality can be predicted for its work When the greatest questions are debated, its members have been seen to stretch themselves and to dose Sometimes the members talk away until the listeners are disgusted Carlyle has called it the "talking shop of the world". Members vote for their party without a thought. Their so called discipline binds them to it any member, by way of exception, gives an independent vote, he is considered a renegade. If the money and the time wasted by the Parliament were entrusted to a few good men, the English nation would be occupying to-day a much higher platform The Parliament is simply a costly toy of the nation These views are, by no means, peculiar to me Some great English thinkers have expressed them. One of the members of that Pailiament recently said that a true Christian could not become a member of it. Another said that it was a baby And, if it has remained a baby after an existence of seven hundred years, when will it outgrow its babyhood ?

READER You have set me thinking, you do not expect me to accept at once all you say You give me entirely novel views I shall have to digest them Will you now explain the epithet "prostitute"?

EDITOR That you cannot accept my views at once is only right. If you will read the literature on this subject, you will have some idea of it. The Parliament is without a real master. Under the Prime Minister, its movement is not steady, but it is buffeted about like a

prostitute The Prime Minister is more concerned about his power than about the welfare of the Parliament. His energy is concentrated upon securing the success of his party. His care is not always that the Parliament shall do right. Prime Ministers are known to have made the Parliament do things merely for party advantage. All this is worth thinking over.

READER Then you are really attacking the very men whom we have hitherto considered to be patriotic and honest?

EDITOR Yes, that is true, I can have nothing against Prime Ministers, but what I have seen leads me to think that they cannot be considered really patriotic. If they are to be considered honest because they do not take what is generally known as bribery, let them be so considered, but they are open to subtler influences. In order to gain their ends, they certainly bribe people with honours. I do not hesitate to say that they have neither real honesty nor a living conscience.

READER: As you express these views about the Parliament, I would like to hear you on the English people, so that I may have your view of their Government.

EDITOR To the English voters their newspaper is their Bible. They take their cue from their newspapers, which latter are often dishonest. The same fact is differently interpreted by different newspapers, according to the party in whose interests they are edited. One newspaper would consider a great Englishman to be a paragon of honesty, another would consider him dishonest. What must be the condition of the people whose newspapers are of this type?

READER You shall describe it.

EDITOR These people change their views frequently It is said that they change them every seven years. These views swing like the pendulum of a clock and are never steadfast. The people would follow a powerful orator or a man who gives them parties, receptions, etc. As are people, so is their Parliament. They have certainly one quality very strongly developed. They will never allow their country to be lost. If any person were to cast an evil eye on it, they would pluck our his eyes. But that does not mean that the nation possesses every other virtue or that it should be imitated. If India copies England, it is my firm conviction that she will be ruined.

READER To what do you ascribe this state of England?

EDITOR It is not due to any peculiar fault of the English people, but the condition is due to modern civilization. It is a civilization only in name. Under if the nations of Europe are becoming degraded and ruined day by day.

#### CHAPTER VI

#### CIVILIZATION

READER: Now you will have to explain what you mean by civilization

EDITOR. It is not a question of what I mean Several English writers refuse to call that civilization which passes under that name. Many books have been written upon that subject. Societies have been formed to cure the nation of the evils of civilization. A great English writer has written a work called "Civilization its Cause and Cure". Therein he has called it a disease.

READER Why do we not know this generally?

EDITOR The answer is very simple. We rarely find people arguing against themselves. Those who are intoxicated by modern civilization are not likely to write against it. Their care will be to find out facts and arguments in support of it, and this they do unconsciously, believing it to be true. A man, whilst he is dreaming, believes in his dream, he is undeceived only when he is awakened from his sleep. A man labouring under the bane of civilization is like a dreaming man. What we usually read are the works of defenders of modern civilization, which undoubtedly claims among its votaries very brilliant and even some very good men. Their writings hypnotise us. And so, one by one, we are drawn into the vortex.

READER This seems to be very plausible. Now will you tell me something of what you have read and thought of this civilization?

EDITOR Let us first consider what state of things is described by the word "civilization" Its true test lies in the fact that people living in it make bodily welfare the object of life We will take some examples The people of Europe to day live in better-built houses than they did a hundred years ago This is considered an emblem of civilization, and this is also a matter to promote bodily happiness Formerly, they wore skins, and used as their weapons spears Now, they wear long trousers, and, for embellishing their bodies, they wear a variety of clothing, and, instead of spears, they carry with them revolvers containing five or more chambers If people of a certain country, who have hitherto not been in the habit of wearing much clothing, boots, etc., adopt European clothing, they are supposed to have become civilized out of savagery. Formerly, in Europe, people ploughed their lands mainly by manual labour Now, one man can plough a vast tract by means of steam engines, and can thus amass great wealth This is called a sign of civilization Formerly, the fewest men wrote books, that were most valuable. Now, anybody writes and prints anything he likes and poisons people's minds Formerly, men travelled in waggons; now they fly through the air in trains at the rate of four hundred and more miles per day This is considered the height of civilization It has been stated that, as men progress, they shall be able to travel in airships and reach any part of the world in a few hours. Men will not need the use of their hands and feet They will press a button, and they will have their clothing by their side They will press another button, and they will have their newspaper A third, and a motor-car will be in waiting for them They will have a variety of delicately dished up

Everything will be done by machinery food Formerly, when people wanted to fight with one another, they measured between them their bodily strength, now it is possible to take away thousands of lives by one man working behind a gun from a hill This is civilization Formerly, men worked in the open air only so much as they liked. Now thousands of workmen meet together and for the sake of maintenance work in factories or mines Then condition is worse than that of beasts. They are obliged to work, at the risk of their lives, at most dangerous occupations, for the sake of millionaires Formerly, men were made slaves under physical compulsion, now they are enslaved by temptation of money and of the luxuries that money can buy. There are now diseases of which people never dreamt before, and an army of doctors is engaged in finding out their cures, and so hospitals have increased. This is a test of civilization Formerly, special messengers were required and much expense was incurred in order to send letters, to-day, anyone can abuse his fellow by means of a letter for one penny True, at the same cost, one can send one's thanks also Formerly, people had two or three meals consisting of home-made bread and vegetables, now, they require something to eat every two hours, so that they have hardly lessure for anything else What more need I say? All this you can ascertain from several authoritative books These are all true tests of civilization And, if any one speaks to the contrary know that he is ignorant This civilization takes note neither of morality nor of religion Its votaries calmly state that their business is not to teach religion Some even consider at to be a superstitious growth Others put on the cloak of religion, and prate about morality. But, after twenty

years' experience, I have come to the conclusion that immorality is often taught in the name of morality. Even a child can understand that in all I have described above there can be no inducement to morality. Civilization seeks to increase bodily comforts, and it fails miserably even in doing so

This civilization is irreligion, and it has taken such a hold on the people in Europe that those who are in it appear to be half mad. They lack real physical strength or courage. They keep up their energy by intoxication. They can hardly be happy in solitude. Women, who should be the queens of households, wander in the streets or they slave away in factories. For the sake of a pittance, half a million women in England alone are labouring under trying circumstances in factories or similar institutions. This awful fact is one of the causes of the daily growing suffragette movement.

This civilization is such that one has only to bepatient and it will be self-destroyed. According to the teaching of Mahomed, this would be considered a Satanic civilization Hinduism calls it the Black Age I cannot give you an adequate conception of it. It is eating into the vitals of the English nation. It must be shunned. Parliaments are really emblems of slavery If you will sufficiently think over this, you will entertain the same opinion, and cease to blame the English. They rather deserve our sympathy. They are a shiewd nation and I therefore believe that they will cast off the evil are enterprising and, industrious, and their mode of thought is not inherently immoral. Neither are they bad at heart. I, therefore, respect them. Civilization is not an incurable disease, but it should never be forgotten that the English. people are at present afflicted by it.

#### CHAPTER VII

#### WHY WAS INDIA LOST?

READER You have said much about civilization—enough to make me ponder over it I do not now know what I should adopt and what I should avoid from the nations of Europe, but one question comes to my lips immediately. If civilization is a disease, and if it has attacked England why has she been able to take India, and why is she able to retain it?

EDITOR Your question is not very difficult to answer, and we shall presently be able to examine the true nature of Swaray, for I am aware that I have still to answer that question. I will, however, take up your previous question. The English have not taken India, we have given it to them. They are not in India because of their strength, but because we keep them Let us now see whether these propositions can be sustained. They came to our country originally for purposes of trade Recall the Company Bahadur Who made it Bahadur? They had not the slightest intention at the time of establishing a kingdom. Who assisted the Company's officers? Who was tempted at the sight of their silver? Who bought their goods? History testifies that we did all this order to become rich all at once, we welcomed the Company's officers with open arms. We assisted them. If I am in the habit of dranking Bhang, and a seller thereof sells it to me, am I to blame him or myself? By blaming the seller shall I be able to avoid the habit? And, if a particular retailer is driven away, will not another take his place? A true servant of India will have to go to the root of the matter If an excess of food has caused me indigestion, I will certainly not avoid it by blaming water. He is a true physician who probes the cause of disease and, if you pose as a physician for the disease of India, you will have to find out its true cause

READER: You are right. Now, I think you will not have to argue much with me to drive your conclusions home. I am impatient to know your further views. We are now on a most interesting topic. I shall, therefore, endeavour to follow your thought, and stop you when I am in doubt.

EDITOR I am afraid that, in spite of your enthusiasm, as we proceed further we shall have differences of opinion Nevertheless, I shall argue only when you will stop me We have already seen that the English merchants were able to get a footing in India because we encouraged them. When our Princes fought among themselves, they sought the assistance of Company Bahadur That corporation was veised alike in commerce and war It was unhampered by questions of morality. Its object was to increase its commerce and to make money It accepted our assistance, and increased the number of its warehouses To protect the latter it employed an army which was utilised by us also Is it not then useless to blame the English for what we did at that time? The Hindus and the Mahomedans were at daggers drawn This, too, gave the Company its opportunity, and thus we created the circumstances that gave the Company its control over India. Hence it is truer to say that we gave India to the English than that India was lost

READER. Will you now tell me how they are able to retain India?

The causes that gave them India enable EDITOR them to retain it Some Englishmen state that they took, and they hold, India by the sword. Both these statements are wrong. The sword is entirely useless for holding India We alone keep them. Napoleon is said to have described the English as a nation of shop-keepers fitting description They hold whatever dominions they have for the sake of their commerce Their army and their navy are intended to protect it When the Transvaal offered no such attractions, the late Mr. Gladstone discovered that it was not right for the English to hold it. When it became a paying proposition, resistance led to Mr. Chamberlain soon discovered that England It is related enjoyed a suzerainty over the Transvaal that some one asked the late President Kruger whether there was gold in the moon He replied that it was highly whitely, because, if there were, the English would have annexed it Many problems can be solved by remembering that money is their God. Then it follows that we keep the English in India for our base self-interest. We like their commerce, they please us by their subtle methods, and get what they want from us To blame them for this is to perpetuate their power We further strengthen their hold by quarelling amongst ourselves If you accept the above statements, it is proved that the English entered India for the purposes of trade They remain in it for the same purpose, and we help them to do so. Their arms and ammunition are perfectly useless In this connection I remind you that it is the British flag which is waving in Japan, and not the Japanese The English have a treaty with Japan for the sake of their commerce, and you will see that, if they can manage it, their commerce will greatly expand in that country. They wish to convert the whole world into a vast market for their goods. That they cannot do so is true, but the blame will not be theirs They will leave no stone unturned to reach the goal

# CHAPTER VIII

#### THE CONDITION OF INDIA

READER I now understand why the English hold India I should like to know your views about the condition of our country

EDITOR It is a sad condition. In thinking of it, my eyes water and my throat gets parched. I have grave doubts whether I shall be able sufficiently to explain what is in my heart. It is my deliberate opinion that India is being ground down not under the English heel but under that of modern civilization. It is groaning under the monster's terrible weight. There is yet time to escape it, but every day makes it more and more difficult. Religion is dear to me, and my first complaint is that India is becoming irreligious. Here I am not thinking of the Hindu and Mahomedan or the Zoroastrian religion, but of that religion which underlies all religions. We are turning away from God.

READER How so ?

EDITOR There is a charge laid against us that we are a lazy people, and that the Europeans are industrious and enterprising. We have accepted the charge and we, therefore, wish to change our condition. Hinduism, Islamism, Zoroastrianism, Christianity and all other religious teach that we should remain passive about worldly pursuits and active about godly pursuits, that we should set a limit to our worldly ambition, and that our religious ambition should be illimitable. Our activity should be directed into the latter channel

READER You seem to be encouraging religious charlatanism Many a cheat has by talking in a similar strain led the people astray.

EDITOR. You are bringing an unlawful charge against religion. Humbug there undoubtedly is about all religions. Where there is light, there is also shadow. I am prepared to maintain that humbugs in worldly matters are far woise than the humbugs in religion. The humbug of civilization that I am endeavouring to show to you is not to be found in religion.

READER How can you say that? In the name of religion Hindus and Mahomedans fought against one another. For the same cause Christians fought Christians. Thousands of innocent men have been murdered, thousands have been burned and tortured in its name. Surely, this is much worse than any civilization

EDITOR: I certainly submit that the above hardships are far more bearable than those of civilization. Everybody understands that the cruelties you have named are not part of religion, although they have been practised in ats name, therefore there is no aftermath to these cruelties. They will always happen so long as there are to be found ignorant and credulous people But there is no end to the victims destroyed in the fire of civilization Its deadly effect is that people came under its scorching flames believing it to be all good They become utterly irreligious and, in reality, derive little advantage from the world Civilization is like a mouse gnawing while it is soothing us. When its full effect is realised, we will see that religious superstition is harmless compared to that of modern civilization Tam not pleading for a continuance of religious superstitions. We will certainly fight them tooth and nail, but we can never do so by disregarding religion. We can only do so by appreciating and conserving the latter.

READER. Then you will contend that the Pax Butannica is a useless encumbrance?

EDITOR You may see peace if you like, I see none.

READER You make light of the terror that the Thugs, the Pindaris, and the Bhils were to the country

If you will give the matter some thought, EDITOR you will see that the terror was by no means such a mighty thing. If it had been a very substantial thing, the other people would have died away before the English advent. Moreover, the present peace is only nominal, for by it we have become emasculated and cowardly. We are not to assume that the Euglish have changed the nature of the Pindaris and the Bhils It is, therefore, better to suffer the Pindari peril than that someone else should protect us from it, and thus render us effeminate I should prefer to be killed by the arrow of a Bhil than to seek unmanly protection India without such protection was an India full Macaulay betrayed gross ignorance when he of valour libelled Indians as being practically cowards never merited the charge Cowards living in a country inhabited by hardy mountaineers, infested by wolves and tigers must surely find an early grave Have you ever visited our fields? I assure you that our agriculturists sleep fearlessly on their farms even to-day, and the English, you and I would hesifate to sleep where they sleep Strength lies in absence of fear, not in the quantity of flesh and muscle we may have on our bodies. Moreover, I must remind you who desire Home Rule that, after all, the Bhils, the Pindaris, the Assamese and the Thugs are our own countrymen To conquer them is your and my work So long as we fear our own. brethren, we are unfit to reach the goal

# CHAPTER IX

#### THE CONDITION OF INDIA RAILWAYS

READER You have deprived me of the consolation I used to have regarding peace in India

EDITOR I have merely given you my opinion on the religious aspect, but, when I give you my views as to the poverty of India you will perhaps begin to dislike me, because what you and I have hitherto considered beneficial for India no longer appears to me to be so

READER What may that be?

EDITOR Railways, lawyers and doctors have impoverished the country, so much so that, if we do not wake up in time, we shall be ruined.

READER I do now, indeed, fear that we are not likely to agree at all. You are attacking the very institutions which we have hitherto considered to be good.

EDITOR It is necessary to exercise patience. The true inwardness of the evils of civilization you will understand with difficulty. Doctors assure us that a consumptive clings to life even when he is about to die. Consumption does not produce apparent hurt—it even produces a seductive colour about a patient's face, so as to induce the belief that all is well. Civilization is such a disease, and we have to be very wary.

READER. Very well, then, I shall hear you on the sailways.

EDITOR. It must be manifest to you that, but for the railways, the English could not have such a hold on India as they have. The railways, too, have spread the bubonic plague. Without them, masses could not move from place to place They are the carriers of plague germs. Formerly we had natural segregation. Railways have also increased the frequency of famines, because, owing to facility of means of locomotion, people sell out their grain, and it is sent to the dearest markets. People become careless, and so the pressure of famine increases. They accentuate the evil nature of man. Bad men fulfil their evil designs with greater rapidity. The holy places of India have become unboly. Formerly, people went to these places with very great difficulty. Generally, therefore, only the real devotees visited such places. Now-a-days rogues visit them in order to places their roguery.

READER You have given a one-sided account. Good men can visit these places as well as bad men. Why do they not take the fullest advantage of the railways?

EDITOR. Good travels at a snail's 'pace—it can, therefore, have little to do with the railways. Those who want to do good are not selfish, they are not in a hurry, they know that to impregnate people with good requires a long time. But evil has wings. To build a house takes time. Its destruction takes none. So the railways can become a distributing agency for the evil one only. It may be a debatable matter whether railways spread famines, but it is beyond dispute that they propagate evil.

READER Be that as [1t may, all the disadvantages of railways are more than counterbalanced by the fact that it is due to them that we see in India the new spirit of nationalism.

EDITOR. I hold this to be a mistake. The English have taught us that we were not one nation before, and that it will require centuries before we become one nation.

This is without foundation. We were one nation before they came to India. One thought inspired us. Our mode of life was the same. It was because we were one nation that they were able to establish one kingdom. Subsequently they divided us.

READER This requires an explanation

EDITOR. I do not wish to suggest that because we were one nation we had no differences, but it is submitted that our leading men travelled throughout India either on foot or in bullock-carts. They learned one another's languages, and there was no aloofness between them. What do you think could have been the intention of those far-seeing ancestors of ours who established Setubandha (Rameshwar) in the South, Juggennaut in the South-East and Hardwar in the North as places of pilgrimage? You will admit they were no fools They knew that worship of God could have been performed just as well at home. They taught us that those whose hearts were aglow with righteousness had the Ganges in their own homes they saw that India was one undivided land so made by nature They, therefore, argued that it must be one nation. Arguing thus, they established holy places in various parts of India, and fired the people with an idea of nationality in a manner unknown in other parts of the Any two Indians are one as no two Englishmen Only you and I and others who consider ourselves civilized and superior persons imagine that we are many nations. It was after the advent of railways that we began to believe in distinctions, and you are at liberty now to say that it is through the railways that we are beginning to abolish those distinctions. An opium-eater may argue the advantage of opium-eating from the fact that he began to understand the evil of the opium habit

after having eaten it I would ask you to consider well what I have said on the railways.

READER I will gladly do so, but one question occurs to me even now. You have described to me the India of the pre-Mahomedan period, but now we have Mahomedans, Parsis and Christians. How can they be one nation? Hindus and Mahomedans are old enemies. Our very proverbs prove it. Mahomedans turn to the West for worship, whilst Hindus turn to the East. The former look down on the Hindus as idolators. The Hindus worship the cow, the Mahomedans kill her. The Hindus believe in the doctrine of non-killing, the Mahomedans do not. We thus meet with differences at every step. How can India be one nation?

# CHAPTER X

# THE CONDITION OF INDIA THE HINDUS AND THE MAHOMEDANS

EDITOR Your last question is a serious one, and yet, on careful consideration, it will be found to be easy The question arises because of the presence of solution of the railways, of the lawyers and of the doctors. We shall presently examine the last two. We have already considered the railways I should, however, like to add that man is so made by nature as to require him to restrict his movements as far as his hands and feet will take him. If we did not rush about from place to place by means of railways and such other maddening conveniences, much of the confusion that arises would be obviated. Our difficulties are of our own creation God set a limit to a man's locomotive ambition in the construction of his body. Man immediately proceeded to discover means of overriding the limit God gifted man with intellect that he might know his Maker Man abused it, so that he might forget his Maker. I am so constructed that I can only serve my immediate neighbours, but, in my conceit, I pretend to have discovered that I must with my body serve every individual in the Universe. In thus attempting the impossible, man comes in contact with different natures. different religions, and is utterly confounded According to this reasoning, it must be apparent to you that railways are a most dangerous institution. Man has there through gone further away from his Maker

READER But I am impatient to hear your answer to my question Has the introduction of Mahomedanism not unmade the nation?

EDITOR India cannot cease to be one nation because people belonging to different religions live in it. The introduction of foreigners does not necessarily destroy the nation, they merge in it. A country is one nation only when such a condition obtains in it. That country must have a faculty for assimilation. India has ever been such a country. In reality, there are as many religions as there are individuals, but those who are conscious of the spirit of nationality do not interfere with one another's religion. they do, they are not fit to be considered a nation Hindus believe that India should be peopled only by Hindus, they are living in a dreamland. The Hindus, the Mahomedans, the Parsis and the Christians who have made India their country are fellow countrymen, and they will have to live in unity if only for their own interest no part of the world are one nationality and one religion synonymous terms nor has it ever been so in India

READER But what about the inborn enmity between Hindus and Mahomedans?

EDITOR That phrase has been invented by our mutual enemy When the Hindus and Mahomedans fought against one another, they certainly spoke in that strain. They have long since ceased to fight. How, then, can there be any inborn enmity? Pray remember this too, that we did not cease to fight only after British occupation. The Hindus flourished under Moslem sovereigns, and Moslems under the Hindu. Each party recognised that mutual fighting was suicidal, and that neither party would abandon its religion by force of arms. Both parties, therefore, decided to live in peace. With the English advent the quarrels re-commenced.

The proverbs you have quoted were coined when both were fighting; to quote them now is obviously harmful.

Should we not remember that many Hindus and Mahomedans own the same ancestors, and the same blood runs through their veins? Do people become enemies because they change their religion? Is the God of the Mahomedan different from the God of the Hindu? Religions are different roads converging to the same point. What does it matter that we take different roads, so long as we reach the same goal? Wherein is the cause for quarrelling?

Moreover, there are deadly proverbs as between the followers of Shiva and those of Vishnu, yet nobody suggests that these two do not belong to the same nation. It is said that the Vedic religion is different from Jamism, but the followers of the respective faiths are not different nations. The fact is that we have become enslaved, and, therefore, quarrel and like to have our quarrels decided by a third party. There are Hindu iconoclasts as there are Mahomedan. The more we advance in true knowledge, the better we shall understand that we need not be at war with those whose religion we may not follow.

READER Now I would like to know your views about cow protection

EDITOR I myself respect the cow, that is, I look upon her with affectionate reverence. The cow is the protector of India, because, it being an agricultural country, is dependent on the cow's progeny. She is a most useful animal in hundreds of ways. Our Mahomedan brethren will admit this

But, just as I respect the cow so do I respect my fellow-men. A man is just as useful as a cow, no matter whether he be a Mahomedan or a Hindu. Am I, then, to fight with or kill a Mahomedan in order to save a cow? In doing so, I would become an enemy as well of the cow

as of the Mahomedan Therefore, the only method I know of protecting the cow is that I should approach my Mahomedan brother and urge him for the sake of the country to join me in protecting her. If he would not listen to me, I should let the cow go for the simple reason that the matter is beyond my ability. If I were overfull of pity for the cow, I should sacrifice my life to save her, but not take my brother's This, I hold, is the law of our religion

When men become obstrate, it is a difficult thing. If I pull one way my Moslem brother will pull another. If I put on a superior air, he will return the compliment. If I bow to him gently, he will do it much more so, and, if he does not, I shall not be considered to have done wrong in having bowed. When the Hindus became insistent, the killing of cows increased. In my opinion, cow protection societies may be considered cowkilling societies. It is a disgrace to us that we should need such societies. When we forgot how to protect cows, I suppose we needed such societies.

What am I to do when a blood-brother is on the point of killing a cow? Am I to kill him, or to fall down at his feet and implore him? If you admit that I should adopt the latter course, I must do the same to my Moslem brother.

Who protects the cow from destruction by Hindus when they cruelly ill-treat her? Whoever reasons with the Hindus when they mercilessly belabour the progeny of the cow with their sticks? But this has not prevented us from remaining one nation.

Lastly, if it be true that the Hindus believe in the doctrine of non-killing and the Mahomedans do not, what, I pray, is the duty of the former? It is not written that

a follower of the religion of Ahimsa (non-killing) may kill a fellow-man. For him the way is straight. In order to save one being, he may not kill another. He can only plead—therein lies his sole duty

But does every Hindu believe in Ahimsa? Going to the root of the matter, not one man really practises such a religion, because we do destroy life. We are said to follow that religion because we want to obtain freedom from liability to kill any kind of life. Generally speaking, we may observe that many Hindus partake of meat and are not, therefore, followers of Ahimsa. It is, therefore, preposterous to suggest that the two cannot live together amically because the Hindus believe in Ahimsa and the Mahomedans do not

These thoughts are put into our minds by selfish and false religious teachers. The English put the finishing touch. They have a habit of writing history, they pretend to study the manners and customs of all peoples. God has given us a limited mental capacity, but they usurp the function of the God-head and indulge in novel experiments. They write about their own researches in most laudatory terms and hypnotise us into believing them. We in our ignorance, then fall at their feet

Those who do not wish to misunderstand things may read up the Koran, and will find therein hundreds of passages acceptable to the Hindus, and the Bhagavad Gita contains passages to which not a Mahomedan can take exception. Am I to dislike a Mahomedan because there are passages in the Koran I do not understand or like? It takes two to make a quarrel. If I do not want to quarrel with a Mahomedan, the latter will be powerless to foist a quarrel on me, and, similarly, I should be powerless if a Mahomedan refuses his assistance to-

quarrel with me An arm striking the an will become disjointed. If everyone will try to understand the cores of his own religion and adhere to it, and will not allow false teachers to dictate to him, there will be no room left for quarrelling.

READER. But will the English ever allow the two bodies to join hands?

EDITOR This question arises out of your timidity. It betrays our shallowness If two brothers want to live in peace, is it possible for a third party to separate them? If they were to listen to evil counsels, we would consider them to be foolish Similarly we Hindus and Mahomedans would have to blame our folly rather than the English, if we allowed them to put us asunder A claypot would break through impact, if not with one stone, then with another The way to save the pot is not to keep it away from the danger-point, but to bake it so that no stone would break it We have then to make our hearts of perfectly baked clay. Then we shall be steeled against all danger This can be easily done by the Hindus. They are superior in numbers, they pretend that they are more educated, they are, therefore, better able to shield themselves from attack on their amicable relations with the Mahomedans

There is mutual distrust between the two communities. The Mahomedans, therefore, ask for certain concessions from Lord Morley. Why should the Hindus oppose this? If the Hindus desisted, the English would notice it, the Mahomedans would gradually begin to trust the Hindus, and brotherliness would be the outcome. We should be ashamed to take our quarrels to the English. Everyone can find out for himself that the Hindus can lose nothing by desisting. That man who has inspired confidence in another has never lost anything in this world.

I do not suggest that the Hindus and the Mahomedans will never fight. Two brothers living together often do so. We shall sometimes have our heads broken Such a thing ought not to be necessary, but all men are not equi-minded. When people are in a rage, they do many foolish things. These we have to put up with. But, when we do quarrel, we certainly do not want to engage counsel and to resort to English or any law-courts. Two men fight, both have their heads broken, or one only How shall a third party distribute justice amongst them? Those who fight may expect to be injured.

#### CHAPTER XI

#### THE CONDITION OF INDIA LAWYERS

READER. You tell me that, when two men quarrel, they should not go to a law-court This is astonishing.

EDITOR Whether you call it astonishing or not, it is the truth. And your question introduces us to the lawyers and the doctors. My firm opinion is that the lawyers have enslaved India, and they have accentuated the Hindu-Mahomedan discussions, and have confirmed English authority.

READER It is easy enough to bring these charges, but will be difficult for you to prove them. But for the lawyers, who would have shown us the road to independence? Who would have protected the poor? Who would have secured justice? For instance, the late Manomohan Ghose defended many a poor man free of charge. The Congress, which you have praised so much, is dependent for its existence and activity upon the work of the lawyers. To denounce such an estimable class of men is to spell justice injustice, and you are abusing the liberty of the press by decrying lawyers.

EDITOR. At one time I used to think exactly like you. I have no desire to convince you that they have never done a single good thing I honour Mr Ghose's memory. It is quite true that he helped the poor. That the Congress owes the lawyers something is believable. Lawyers are also men, and there is something good in every man. Whenever instances of lawyers having done good can be brought forward, it will be found that the

good is due to them as men rather than as lawyers All-I am concerned with is to show you that the profession teaches immorality, it is exposed to temptations from which few are saved.

The Hindus and the Mahomedans have quarrelled. An ordinary man will ask them to forget all about it, he will tell them that both must be more or less at fault, and will advise them no longer to quarrel They go to lawyers The latter's duty is to side with their clients, and to find out ways and arguments in favour of the clients to which they (the clients) are often strangers If they do not 'do so, they will be considered to have degraded their The lawyers therefore will, as a rule, profession advance quarrels, instead of repressing them. Moreover, men take up that profession, not in order to help others out of their miseries, but to enrich themselves of the avenues of becoming wealthy and their interest exists in multiplying disputes. It is within my knowledge that they are glad when men have disputes Petty pleaders actually manufacture them Their touts, like so many leeches, suck the blood of the poor people Lawyers are men who have little to do Lazy people, in order to indulge in luxuries, take up such professions. This is a true statement Any other argument is a mere pretension It is the lawyers who have discovered that theirs is an honourable profession They frame laws as they frame their own praises They decide what fees they will charge, and they put on so much side that pool people almost consider them to be heaven-born

Why do they want more fees than common labourers? Why are their requirements greater? In what way are they more profitable to the country than the labourers? Are those who do good entitled to greater payment? And,

if they have done anything for the country for the sake of money, how shall it be counted as good?

Those who know anything of the Hindu-Mahomedan quarrels know that they have been often due to the intervention of lawyers. Some families have been ruined through them, they have made brothers enemies. Principalities, having come under lawyers' power, have become loaded with debt Many have been robbed of their all Such instances can be multiplied

But the greatest injury they have done to the country is that they have tightened the English grip Do you think that it would be possible for the English to carry on their Government without law-courts? It is wrong to consider that courts are established for the benefit of the people Those who want to perpetuate their power do so through the courts. If people were to settle their own quarrels, a third party would not be able to exercise any authority over them Truly, men were less unmanly when they settled their disputes either by fighting or by asking their relatives to decide upon them. They became more unmanly and cowardly when they resorted to the courts of It was certainly a sign of savagery when they settled their disputes by fighting Is it any the less so if I ask a third party to decide between you and me? Surely, the decision of a third party is not always right parties alone know who is right. We, in our simplicity and ignorance, imagine that a stranger, by taking our money, gives us justice

The chief thing, however, to be remembered is that, without lawyers, courts could not have been established or conducted, and without the latter the English could not rule. Supposing that there were only English Judges, English Pleaders and English Police, they could only jule

over the English The English could not do without Indian Judges and Indian pleaders. How the pleaders were made in the first instance and how they were favoured you should understand well. Then you will have the same abhorence for the profession that I have. If pleaders were to abandon their profession, and consider it just as degrading as prostitution, English rule would break up in a day. They have been instrumental in having the charge laid against us that we love quarrels and courts, as fish love water. What I have said with reference to the pleaders, necessarily applies to the judges; they are first cousins, and the one gives strength to the other

# CHAPTER XII

#### THE CONDITION OF INDIA. DOCTORS

READER I now understand the lawyers, the good they may have done is accidental. I feel that the profession is certainly hateful. You, however, drag in the doctors also, how is that?

EDITOR The views I submit to you are those I have adopted They are not original Western writers have used stronger terms regarding both lawyers and doctors. One writer has linked the whole modern system to the Upas tree. Its branches are represented by parasitical professions, including those of law and medicine, and over the trunk has been raised the axe of true religion. Immorality is the root of the tree. So you will see that the views do not come right out of my mind, but they represent the combined experiences of many. I was at one time a great lover of the medical profession. It was my intention to become a doctor for the sake of the country. I no longer hold that opinion I now understand why the medicine men (the vaids) among us have not occupied a very honourable status.

The English have certainly effectively used the medical profession for holding us English physicians are known to have used the profession with several Asiatic potentates for political gain

Doctors have almost unhinged us. Sometimes I think that quacks are better than highly qualified doctors. Let us consider the business of a doctor is to take care of

the body, or properly speaking, not even that Their business is really to rid the body of diseases that may afflict it. How do these diseases arise? Surely by our negligence or indulgence. I overeat, I have indigestion, I go to a doctor, he gives me medicine, I am cured, I overeat again, I take his pills again. Had I not taken the pills in the first instance, I would have suffered the punishment deserved by me, and I would not have overeaten again. The doctor intervened and helped, me, to indulge myself. My body thereby certainly felt more at ease, but my mind became weakened. A continuance of a course of medicine must, therefore, result in loss of control over the mind.

I have indulged in vice, I contract a disease, a doctor cures me, the odds' are that I shall repeat the vice. Had the doctor not intervened, nature would have done its work, and I would have acquired mastery over myself, would have been freed from vice, and would have become happy

Hospitals are institutions for propagating sin Men take less care of their bodies, and immorality increases. European doctors are the worst of all Foi the sake of a mistaken care of the human body, they kill annually thousands of animals. They practise vivisection No religion sanctions this. All say that it is not necessary to take so many lives for the sake of our bodies

These doctors violate our religious instinct Most of their medical preparations contain either animal fat or spirituous liquors, both of these are tabooed by Hindus and Mahomedans. We may pretend to be civilised, call religious prohibitions a superstition and wantonly indulge in what we like. The fact remains that the doctors induce us to indulge, and the result is that we have become

deprived of self-control and have become effeminate. In these circumstances, we are unfit to serve the country. To study European medicine is to deepen our slavery.

It is worth considering why we take up the profession of medicine. It is certainly not taken up for the purpose of serving humanity. We become doctors so that we may obtain honours and riches. I have endeavoured to show that there is no real service of humanity in the profession, and that it is injurious to mankind. Doctors make a show of their knowledge, and charge exorbitant fees. Their preparations, which are intrinsically worth a few pennies, cost shillings. The populace in its credulity and in the hope of ridding itself of some disease, allows itself to be cheated. Are not quacks then, whom we know, better than the doctors who put on an air of humaneness?

# CHAPTER XIII

# WHAT IS TRUE CIVILIZATION?

READER You have denounced railways, lawyers and doctors. I can see that you will discard all machinery. What, then, is civilization?

EDITOR The answer to that question is not difficult. I believe that the civilization India has evolved is not to be beaten in the world Nothing can equal the seeds sown by our ancestors. Rome went, Greece shared the same fate, the might of the Pharaohs was broken, Japan has become Westernised, of China nothing can be said, but India is still somehow or other, sound at the foundation. The people of Europe learn their lessons from the writings of the men of Greece or Rome, which exist no longer in their former glory. In trying to learn from them, the Europeans imagine that they will avoid the mistakes of Greece and Rome Such is their pitiable condition. In the midst of all this, India remainsimmovable, and that is her glory. It is a charge against India that her people are so uncivilized, ignorant and stolid, that it is not possible to induce them to adopt any changes. It is a charge really against our merit. What we have tested and found true on the anvil of experience, we dare not change. Many thrust their advice upon India, and she remains steady. This is her beauty; it is the sheet-anchor of our hope

Civilization is that mode of conduct which points out to man the path of duty. Performance of duty and observance of morality are convertible terms. To observe morality is to attain mastery over our mind and our passions. So doing, we know ourselves. The Gujarati equivalent for civilization means "good conduct".

If this definition be correct, then India, as so many writers have shown, has nothing to learn from anybody else, and this is as it should be. We notice that mind is a restless bird; the more it gets the more it wants, and still remains unsatisfied. The more we indulge our passions, the more unbridled they become. Our ancestors, therefore set a limit to our indulgences They saw that happiness was largely a mental condition. A man is not necessarily happy because he is rich, or unhappy because he is poor. The rich are often seen to be unhappy, the poor to be happy. Millions will always remain poor. Observing all this, our ancestors dissuaded us from luxuries and pleasures. We have managed with the same kind of plough as it existed thousands of years ago. We have retained the same kind of cottages that we had in former times, and our indigenous education remains the same as before. We have had no system of life-corroding competition. Each followed his own occupation or trade, and charged a regulation wage. It was not that we did not know how to invent machinery, but our forefathers knew that, if we set our hearts after such things, we would become slaves and lose our moral fibre therefore, after due deliberation, decided that we should only do what we could with our hands and feet. They saw that our real happiness and health consisted in a proper use of our hands and feet They further reasoned that large cities were a snare and a useless encumbrance, and that people would not be happy in them, that there would be gangs of thieves and robbers, prostitution and vice flourishing in them and that poor men would be robbed by rich men They were,

therefore, satisfied with small villages They saw that kings and their swords were inferior to the sword. of ethics, and they, therefore, held the sovereigns of the earth to be inferior to the Rishis and the Fakus A nation with a constitution like this is fitter to teach others than to learn from others. This nation had courts, lawyers and doctors, but they were all within bounds Everybody knew that these professions were not particularly superior; moreover, these vakils and vaids did not rob people; they were considered people's dependents, not their masters. Justice was tolerably fair The ordinary rule was to avoid courts. There were no touts to lure people into them This evil, too, was noticeable only in and around capitals. The common people lived independently, and followed their agricultural occupation. They enjoyed true Home Rule

And where this cutsed modern civilization has not reached, India remains as it was before. The inhabitants of that part of India will very properly laugh at your new-fangled notions. The English do not rule over them nor will you ever rule over them. Those whose name we speak we do not know, nor do they know us. I would certainly advise you and those like you who love the motherland to go into the interior that has yet not been polluted by the railways, and to live there for six months you might then be patriotic and speak of Home Rule.

Now you see what I consider to be real civilization. Those who want to change conditions such as I have described are enemies of the country and are sinners

READER It would be all right if India were exactly as you have described it, but it is also India where there are hundreds of child widows, where two-year old babies

are married, where twelve-year old girls are mothers and housewives, where women practise polyandry, where the practice of Niyog obtains, where, in the name of religion, girls dedicate themselves to prostitution, and where, in the name of religion, sheep and goats are killed. Do you consider these also symbols of the civilization that you have described?

EDITOR You make a mistake The defects that you have shown are defects Nobody mistakes them for ancient civilization. They remain in spite of it. Attempts have always been made, and will be made, to remove them We may utilise the new spirit that is born in us for purging ourselves of these evils But what I have described to you as emblems of modern civilization are accepted as such by its votailes. Indian civilization, as described by me, has been so described by its votaries. In no part of the world, and under no civilization, have all men attained perfection The tendency of Indian civilization is to elevate the moral being, that of the Western civilization is to propagate immorality The latter is godless, the former is based on a belief in God So understanding and so believing, it behaves every lover of India to ching to the old Indian civilization even as a child clings to the mother's breast.

#### CHAPTER XIV

# HOW CAN INDIA BECOME FREE ?

READER I appreciate your views about civilization. I will have to think over them. I cannot take in all at once What, then, holding the views you do, would you suggest for freeing India

EDITOR I do not expect my views to be accepted all of a sudden. My duty is to place them before readers like yourself. Time can be trusted to do the rest. We have already examined the conditions for freeing India, but we have done so indirectly, we will now do so directly. It is a world-known maxim that the removal of the cause of a disease results in the removal of the disease itself. Similarly, if the cause of India's slavery be removed, India can become free.

READER If Indian civilization is, as you say, the best of all, how do you account for India's slavery?

EDITOR This civilization is unquestionably the best, but it is to be observed that all civilizations have been on their trial. That civilization which is permanent outlives it. Because the sons of India were found wanting, its civilization has been placed in jeopardy. But its strength is to be seen in its ability to survive the shock. Moreover, the whole of India is not touched. Those alone who have been affected by Western civilization have become enslaved. We measure the universe by our own miserable foot-rule. When we are slaves, we think that the whole universe is enslaved. Because we are in an abject condition, we think that the whole of India is in that condition. As a matter of fact it is not so, but it is as well to impute our slavery to

the whole of India. But if we bear in mind the above fact, we can see that, if we become free, India is free. And in this thought you have a definition of Swara; It is Swara; when we learn to rule ourselves It is, therefore, in the palm of our hands Do not consider this Swaray to be like a dream Hence there is no idea of sitting still. The Swaray that I wish to picture before you and me is such that, after we have once realised it, we will endeavour to the end of our life-time to persuade others to do likewise. But such Swara, has to be experienced, by each one for himself. One drowning man will never save another Slaves ouiselves, it would be a mere pretension to think of freeing others Now you will have seen that it is not necessary for us to have as our goal the expulsion of the English. If the Euglish become Indianised, we can accommodate them. If they wish to remain in India along with their civilization, there is no room for them with us to bring about such a state of things

READER. It is impossible that Englishmen should ever become Indianised.

EDITOR To say that is equivalent to saying that the English have no humanity in them. And it is really beside the point whether they become so or not. If we keep our own house in order, only those who are fit to live in it will remain. Others will leave of their own accord. Such things occur within the experience of all of us.

READER But it has not occurred in history.

EDITOR To believe that what has not occurred in history will not occur at all is to argue disbelief in the dignity of man At any rate, it behaves us to try what appeals to our reason. All countries are not similarly conditioned The condition of India is unique. Its

strength is immeasurable. We need not, therefore, refer to the history of other countries. I have drawn attention to the fact that, when other civilizations have succumbed, the Indian has survived many a shock

READER I cannot follow this There seems little doubt that we shall have to expel the English by force of arms So long as they are in the country, we cannot rest One of our poets says that slaves cannot even dream of happiness We are day by day becoming weakened owing to the presence of the English. Our greatness is gone, our people look like terrified men. The English are in the country like a blight which we must remove by every means

EDITOR In your excitement, you have forgotten alliwe have been considering. We brought the English, and we keep them. Why do you forget that our adoption of their civilization makes their presence in India at all possible? Your hatred against them ought to be transferred to their civilization. But let us assume that we have to drive away the English by fighting, how is that to be done?

READER: In the same way as Italy did it What it was possible for Mazzini and Garibaldi to do, ispossible for us You cannot deny that they were very great men.

# CHAPTER XV

#### ITALY AND INDIA

EDITOR It is well that you have instanced Italy. Mazzini was a great and good man, Garibaldi was a great Both are adorable, from their lives we can learn much But the condition of Italy was different from that of India In the first instance, the difference between Mazzini and Garibaldi is worth noting. Mazzini's ambition was not, and has not yet been, realised regarding Italy. Mazzini has shown in his writings on the duty of man that every man must learn how to rule himself This has not happened in Italy. Garibaldi did not hold this view of Mazzıni's. Garibaldi gave, and every Italian took arms. Italy and Austria had the same civilization, they were consins in this respect. It was a matter of tit for tat. Garibaldi simply wanted Italy to be free from the Austrian yoke. The machinations of Minister Cavour disgrace that pertion of the history of Italy And what has been the result? If you believe that, because Italians rule Italy, the Italian nation is happy, you are groping in darkness. Mazzini has shown conclusively that Italy did not become meaning to the free. Victor Emanuel gave one According expression, Mazzini gave another. Emanuel, Cavour and even Garibaldi, Italy meant the King of Italy and his henchmen. According to Mazzini, it meant the whole of the .Italian people, that is, its agriculturists Emanuel was only its servant. The Italy of Mazzini still remains in a state of slavery. At the time of the so-called national war, it was a

game of chess between two rival kings, with the people of Italy as pawns The working classes in that land are still unhappy. They therefore indulge in assassination, rise in revolt, and rebellion on their part is always expected What substantial gain did Italy obtain after the withdrawal of the Austrian troops? The gain was only nominal The reforms for the sake of which the war was supposed to have been undertaken have not yet been granted. The condition of the people in general still remains the same. I am sure you do not wish to reproduce such a condition in India believe that you want the millions of India to be happy, not that you want the reins of Government in your hands If that be so, we have to consider only one thing, how can the millions obtain self-rule? You will admit that people under several Indian princes are being ground down The latter mercilessly crush them Their tyranny is greater than that of the English and, if you want such tyranny in India, then we shall never agree. My patriotism does not teach me that I am to allow people to be crushed under the heel of Indian princes it only the English retire I have the power, I should resist the tyranny of Indian princes just as much as that of the English patriotism I mean the welfare of the whole people, and, if I could secure it at the hands of the English, I should bow down my head to them. If any Englishman dedicated his life to securing the freedom of India, resisting tyranny and serving the land, I should welcome that Englishman as an Indian.

Again, India can fight like Italy only when she has arms. You have not considered this problem at all. The English are splendidly armed, that does not frighten me,

but it is clear that, to fit ourselves against them in arms, thousands of Indians must be armed. If such a thing be possible, how many years will it take? Moreover, to arm India on a large scale is to Europeanise it. Then her condition will be just as pitiable as that of Europe This means, in short, that India must accept European civilization, and if that is what we want, the best thing is that we have among us those who are so well trained in that civilization. We will then fight for a few rights, will get what we can and so pass our days But the fact is that the Indian nation will not adopt arms, and it is well that it does not.

READER. You are overassuming facts All need not be armed At first, we will assassinate a few Englishmen and strike terror, then, a few men who will have been armed will fight openly. We may have to lose a quarter of a million men, more or less, but we will regain our land We will undertake guerilla warfare, and defeat the English.

EDITOR That is to say, you want to make the holy land of India, unholy Do you not tremble to think of freeing India by assassmation? What we need to do is to kill ourselves. It is a cowardly thought that of killing others. Whom do you suppose to free by assassination? The millions of India do not desire it. Those who are intoxicated by the wretched modern civilization think these things. Those who will rise to power by murder will certainly not make the nation happy. Those who believe that India has gained by Dhingra's act and such other acts in India make a serious mistake. Dhingra was a patriot, but his love was blind. He gave his body in a wrong way, its ultimate result can only be mischievous.

READER. But you will admit that the English have 'been frightened by these murders, and that Lord Morley's reforms are due to fear.

EDITOR. The English are both a timid and a brave nation. She is, I believe, easily influenced by the use of gunpowder. It is possible that Lord Morley has granted the reforms through fear, but what is granted under fear can be retained only so long as the fear lasts.

# CHAPIER XVI

#### BRUTE FORCE

READER This is a new doctrine, that what is gained through fear is retained only while the fear lasts Surely, what is given will not be withdrawn?

EDITOR Not so The Proclamation of 1857 was given at the end of a revolt, and for the purpose of preserving peace. When peace was secured and people became simple-minded, its full effect was toned down. If I ceased stealing for fear of punishment, I would recommence the operation as soon as the fear is withdrawn from me. This is almost a universal experience. We have assumed that we can get men to do things by force and, therefore, we use force

READER Will you not admit that you are arguing against yourself? You know that what the English obtained in their own country they have obtained by using brute force. I know you have argued that what they have obtained is useless, but that does not affect my argument. They wanted useless things, and they got them. My point is that their desire was fulfilled What does it matter what means they adopted? Why should not obtain our goal, which is good, by any means whatsoever even by using violence? Shall I think of the means when I have to deal with a thief in the house? My duty is to drive him out anyhow You seem to admit that we have received nothing, and that we shall receive nothing by petitioning Why, then, may we not do so by using brute force? And, to retain what we may receive we shall keep up the fear by using the same force to the extent that it may be necessary. You will not find fault with a continuance of force to prevent a child from thrusting its foot into fire? Somehow or other, we have to gain our end.

Your reasoning is plausible It has EDITOR deluded many I have used similar aiguments before now But I think I know better now, and I shall endeavour to undeceive you. Let us first take the arguments that we are justified in gaining our end by using brute force, because the English gained theirs by using similar means. It is perfectly true that they used brute force, and that it is possible for us to do likewise, but, by using similar means, we can get only the same thing that they got You will admit that we do not want Your belief that there is no connection between the means and the end is a great mistake. Through that mistake even men who have been considered religious have committed grievous crimes, your reasoning is the same as saying that we can get a rose through planting a noxious weed. If I want to cross the ocean, I can do so only by means of a vessel; if I were to use a cart for that purpose, both the cart and I would soon find the bottom "As is the God, so is the votary" is a maxim worth considering Its meaning has been distorted and men have gone astray. The means may be likened to a seed, the end to a tree, and there is just the same inviolable connection between the means and the end as there is between the seed and the tree. I am not likely to obtain the result flowing from the worship of God by laying myself prostrate before Satan If, therefore, anyone were to say "I want to worship God, it does not matter that I do so by means of Satan," ut would be set down as ignorant folly We reap exactly

as we sow The English in 1833 obtained greater voting power by violence. Did they by using brute force better appreciate their duty? They wanted the right of voting, which they obtained by using physical force But real rights are a result of performance of duty, these rightsthey have not obtained We, therefore, have before us in England the force of everybody wanting and insisting on his rights, nobody thinking of his duty And, where everybody wants rights, who shall give them to whom? I do not wish to imply that they do not perform the duty to which those rights should correspond, and, as they do not perform that particular duty, namely, acquire fitness, their rights have proved a burden to them. In other words, what they have obtained is an exact result of the means they adopted They used the means corresponding to the end If I want to deprive you of your watch, I shall certainly have to fight for it, if I want to buy your watch, I shall have to pay you for it, and, if I want a gift I shall have to plead for it, and, according to the means I employ, the watch is stolen property, my own property, or a donation. Thus we see three different results from three different means Will you still say that means do not matter?

Now we shall take the example given by you of the thief to be driven out. I do not agree with you that the thief may be driven out by any means. If it is my father who has come to steal, I shall use one kind of means. If it is an acquaintance, I shall use another, and, in the case of a perfect stranger, I shall use a third. If it is a white man, you will perhaps say, you will use means different from those you will adopt with an Indian thief. If it is a weakling, the means will be different from those to be adopted for dealing with an equal in physical strength;

and, if the thief is armed from top to toe, I shall simply remain quiet Thus we have a variety of means between the father and the armed man Again, I fancy that I should pretend to be sleeping whether the thief was my father or that strong armed man. The reason for this is that my father would also be armed, and I should succumb to the strength possessed by either and allow my things to be stolen The strength of my father would make me weep with pity, the strength of the armed man would rouse in me anger, and we should become enemies is the curious situation From these examples, we may not be able to agree as to the means to be adopted in each I myself seem clearly to see what should be done in all these cases, but the remedy may frighten you I, therefore, hesitate to place it before you For the time being, I will leave you to guess it, and, if you cannot, it is clear that you will have to adopt different means in each case. You will also have seen that any means will not avail to drive away the thief You will have to adopt means to fit each case Hence it follows that your duty is not to drive away the thief by any means you like.

Let us proceed a little further That well armed man has stolen your property, you have harboured the thought, you are filled with anger, you argue that you want to punish that rogue, not for your own sake, but for the good of your neighbours, you have collected a number of armed men, you want to take his house by assault, he is duly informed of it, he runs away, he too is incensed. He collects his brother robbers, and sends you a defiant message that he will commit robbery in broad daylight. You are strong, you do not fear him, you are prepared to receive him Meanwhile, the robber pesters your

neighbours. They complain before you, you reply that you are doing all for their sake, you do not mind that your own goods have been stolen Your neighbours reply that the robber never pestered them before, and that he commenced his depredations only after you declared hostilities against him You are between Sylla and Charybdis You are full of pity for the poor men What they say is true What are you to do? You will be disgraced if you now leave the robber alone You, therefore, tell the poor men "Never mind Come, my wealth is yours, I will give you arms, I will teach you how to use them, you should belabour the rogue; don't you leave him alone" And so the battle grows; the robbers increase in numbers, your neighbours have deliberately put themselves to inconvenience. Thus the result of wanting to take revenge upon the robber is that you have disturbed your own peace, you are in perpetual fear of being robbed and assaulted, your courage has given place to cowardice If you will patiently examine the argument, you will see that I have not overdrawn the picture This is one of the means Now let us examine the other You set this armed robber down as an ignorant brother, you intend to reason with him at a suitable opportunity; you argue that he is, after all, a fellowmen, you do not know what prompted him to ateal You, therefore, decide that, when you can, you will destroy the man's motive for stealing Whilst you are thus reasoning with yourself, the man comes again to steal. Instead of being angry with him you take pity on him. You think that this stealing habit must be a disease with him Henceforth, you, therefore, keep your doors and windows open, you change your sleeping-place, and you keep your things in a manner most accessible

to him The robber comes again, and is confused, as all this is new to him, nevertheless, he takes away your things But his mind is agitated He inquires about you in the village, he comes to learn about your broad and loving heart, he repents, he begs your pardon, returns you your things, and leaves off the stealing habit. He becomes your servant, and you find for him honourable employment. This is the second method Thus, you see different means have brought about totally different results I do not wish to deduce from this that robbers will act in the above manner or that all will have the same pity and love like you, but I wish only to show that only fair means can produce fair results, and that, at least in the majority of cases, if not, indeed, in all, the force of love and pity is infinitely greater than the force of arms There is harm in the exercise of brute force, never in that of pity

Now we will take the question of petitioning It is a fact beyond dispute that a petition, without the backing of force, is useless. However, the late Justice Ranade used to say that petitions served a useful purpose because they were a means of educating people. They give the latter an idea of their condition, and warn the rulers. From this point of view, they are not altogether useless. A petition of an equal is a sign of courtesy, a petition from a slave is a symbol of his slavery. A petition backed by force is a petition from an equal and, when he transmits his demand in the form of a petition, it testifies to his nobility. Two kinds of force can back petitions. "We will hurt you if you do not give this" is one kind of force, it is the force of arms,

whose evil results we have already examined. The second kind of force can thus be stated "If you do not concede our demand, we will be no longer your petitioners. You can govern us only so long as we remain the governed, we shall no longer have any dealings with you." The force implied in this may be described as love force, soul-force or, more popularly but less accurately, passive resistance. This force is indestructible. He who uses it perfectly understands his position. We have an ancient proverb which literally means. "One negative cures thirty-six diseases." The force of arms is powerless when matched against the force of love or the soul

Now we shall take your last illustration, that of the child thrusting its foot into fire. It will not avail you What do you really do to the child? Supposing that it can exert so much physical force that it renders you powerless and rushes into fire, then you cannot prevent it There are only two remedies open to you-either you must kill it in order to prevent it from perishing in the flames, or you must give your own life, because you do not wish to see it perish before your very eyes You will not kill it. If your heart is not quite full of pity, it is possible that you will not surrender yourself, by preceding the child and going into the fire yourself You, therefore. helplessly allow it to go into the flames Thus. at any rate, you are not using physical force. I hope you will not consider that it is still physical force. though of a low order, when you would forcibly prevent the child from rushing towards the fire if you could That force is of a different order, and we have to understand what it is.

Remember that, in thus preventing the child, you are minding entirely its own interest, you are exercising authority for its sole benefit. Your example does not apply to the English. In using brute force against the English, you consult entirely your own, that is the national interest. There is no question here either of pity or of love. If you say that the actions of the English, being evil, represent fire, and that they proceed to their actions through ignorance, and that, therefore, they occupy the position of a child, and that you want to protect such a child, then you will have to overtake every such evil action by whomsoever committed, and, as in the case of the child, you will have to sacrifice yourself. If you are capable of such immeasurable pity, I wish you well in its exercise.

## CHAPTER XVII

### PASSIVE RESISTANCE

READER Is there any historical evidence as to the success of what you have called soul-force or truth-force? No instance seems to have happened of any nation having risen through soul-force. I still think that the evil-doers will not cease doing evil without physical punishment

EDITOR The poet Tulsidas has said "Of religion, pity or love is the root, as egotism of the body. Therefore, we should not abandon pity so long as we are alive" This appears to me to be a scientific truth. I believe in it as much as I believe in two and two being four force of love is the same as the force of the soul or truth. We have evidence of its working at every step. The universe would disappear without the existence of But you ask for historical evidence that force therefore, necessary to know what history means Gujarati equivalent means "It so happened" as the meaning of history, it is possible to give copious evidence But, if it means the doings of kings and emperors, there can be no evidence of soul-force or passive resistance in such history You cannot expect silver ore in a tin-mine History, as we know it, is a record of the wars of the world, and so there is a proverb among Englishmen that a nation which has no history, that is, no wars, is a happy nation How kings played, how they became enemies of one another and how they murdered one another is found accurately recorded in history, and, if this were all that had happend in the world it would have been ended long ago If the story of the universe had commenced with wars, not a man would have been found alive to-day Those people who have been warred against have disappeared, as, for instance, the natives of Australia, of whom haidly a man was left alive by the intruders Mark, please, that these natives did not use soul-force in self-defence, and it does not require much folesight to know that the Australians will share the same fate as their victims "Those that wield the sword shall perish by the sword "With us, the proverb is that professional [swimmers will find a watery grave

The fact that there are so many men still alive in the world shows that it is based not on the force of arms but on the force of truth or love. Therefore the greatest and most unimpeachable evidence of the success of this force is to be found in the fact that, in spite of the wars of the world, it still lives on

Thousands, indeed tens of thousands, depend for their existence on a very active working of this force. Little quarrels of millions of families in their daily lives disappear before the exercise of this force. Hundreds of nations live in peace. History does not, and cannot, take note of this fact. History is really a record of every interruption of the even working of the force of love or of the soul. Two brothers quarrel, one of them repeats and re-awakens the love that was lying dormant in him, the two again begin to live in peace, nobody takes note of this. But, if the two brothers, through the intervention of solicitors or some other reason, take up arms or go to law—which is another form of the exhibition of brute force—their doings would be immediately noticed in the press, they would be the talk of their

neighbours, and would probably go down to history And what is true of families and communities is true of nations. There is no reason to believe that there is one law for families, and another for nations. History, then, is a record of an interruption of the course of nature. Soul-force, being natural, is not noted in history.

READER According to what you say, it is plain that instances of the kind of passive resistance are not to be found in history. It is necessary to understand this passive resistance more fully. It will be better, therefore, if you enlarge upon it

EDITOR Passive resistance is a method of securing rights by personal suffering; it is the reverse of resistance by arms. When I refuse to do a thing that is repugnant to my conscience, I 'use soul-force. For instance, the government of the day has passed a law which is applicable to me. I do not like it. If, by using violence, I force the government to repeal the law, I am employing what may be termed body-force. If I do not obey the law, and accept the penalty for its breach, I use soul-force. It involves sacrifice of self.

Everybody admits that sacrifice of self is infinitely superior to sacrifice of others. Moreover, if this kind of force is used in a cause that is unjust, only the person using it suffers. He does not make others suffer for his mistakes. Men have before now done many things which were subsequently found to have been wrong. No man can claim to be absolutely in the right, or that a particular thing is wrong, because he thinks so, but it is wrong for him so long as that is his deliberate judgment. It is, therefore, meet that he should not do that which he knows to be wrong, and suffer

the consequence whatever it may be. This is the key to the use of soul-force

READER You would then disregard laws—this is rank disloyalty. We have always been considered a law-abiding nation. You seem to be going even beyond the extremists. They say that we must obey the laws that have been passed, but that, if the laws be bad, we must drive out the law-givers even by force

EDITOR Whether I go beyond them or whether I do not is a matter of no consequence to either of us We simply want to find out what is right and to act accordingly. The real meaning of the statement that we are a law-abiding nation is that we are passive resisters. When we do not like certain laws, we do not break the heads of law-givers, but we suffer and do not submit to the That we should obey laws whether good or bad is a new-fangled notion. There was no such thing in former days The people disregarded those laws they did not like, and suffered the penalties for their breach It is contrary to our manhood, if we obey laws repugnant to our conscience Such teaching is opposed to religion, and means slavery. If the Government were to ask us to go about without any clothing, should we do so? If I were a passive resister, I would say to them that I would have nothing to do with their law But we have so forgotten ourselves and become so compliant, that we do not mind any degrading law.

A man who has realised his manhood, who fears only God, will fear no one else. Man-made laws are not necessarily binding on him Even the Government do not expect any such thing from us They do not say "You must do such and such a thing," but they say "If you do not do it, we will punish you" We are sunk so low,

that we fancy that it is our duty and our religion to do what the law lays down. If man will only realise that it is unmanly to obey laws that are unjust, no man's tyranny will enslave him. This is the key to self-rule or home-rule.

It is a superstition and an ungodly thing to believe that an act of a majority binds a minority. Many examples can be given in which acts of majorities will be found to have been wrong, and those of minorities to have been right. All reforms owe their origin to the initiation of minorities in opposition to majorities. If among a band of robbers, a knowledge of robbing is obligatory, is a pious man to accept the obligation? So long as the superstition that men should obey unjust laws exists, so long will their slavery exist. And a passive resister alone can remove such a superstition.

To use brute-force, to use gun-powder is contrary to passive resistance, for it means that we want our opponent to do by force that which we desire but he does not And, if such a use of force is justifiable, surely he is entitled to do likewise by us And so we should never come to an agreement. We may simply fancy, like the blind horse moving in a circle round a mill, that we are making progress. Those who believe that they are not bound to obey laws which are repugnant to their conscience, have only the remedy of passive resistance open to them. Any other must lead to disaster.

READER From what you say, I deduce that passive resistance is a splendid weapon of the weak, but that, when they are strong, they may take up arms.

EDITOR. This is gross ignorance. Passive resistance, that is, soul-force, is matchless. It is superior to the force of arms. How, then, can it be considered only a weapon of the weak? Physical-force men are

strangers to the courage that is requisite in a passive resister. Do you believe that a coward can ever disobey a law that he dislikes? Extremists are considered to be advocates of brute force. Why do they, then, talk about obeying laws? I do not blame them. They can say nothing else. When they succeed an driving out the English, and they themselves become governors, they will want you and me to obey their laws. And that is a fitting thing for their constitution. But a passive resister will say he will not obey a law that is against his conscience, even though he may be blown to pieces at the mouth of a cannon.

What do you think? Wherein is courage required—in blowing others to pieces from behind a cannon or with a smiling face to approach a cannon and to be blown to pieces? Who is the true warrior—he who keeps death always as a bosom-friend or he who controls the death of others? Believe me that a man devoid of courage and manhood can never be a passive resister

This, however, I will admit that even a man weak in body is capable of offering this resistance. One man can offer it just as well as millions. Both men and women can indulge in it. It does not require the training of an army, it needs no Ju-jitsu. Control over the mind is alone necessary, and, when that is attained, man is free like the king of the forest, and his very glance withers the enemy.

Passive resistance is an all sided aword, it can be used anyhow, it blesses him who uses it and him against whom it is used. Without drawing a drop of blood, it produces far-leaching results. It never rusts, and cannot be stolen. Competition between passive resisters does not exhaust. The sword of passive resistance does not require a scabbard. It is strange indeed that

you should consider such a weapon to be a weapon merely of the weak.

READER You have said that passive resistance is a speciality of India. Have cannons never been used in India?

EDITOR Evidently, in your opinion, India means its few princes. To me, it means its teeming millions, on whom depends the existence of its princes and our own

Kings will always use their kingly weapons. To use force is bred in them They want to command, but those who have to obey commands, do not want guns, and these are in a majority throughout the world They have to learn either body force or soul-force. Where they learn the former, both the julers and the ruled become like so many mad men, but, where they learn soul-force, the commands of the rulers do not go beyond the point of their swords, for true men disregard unjust commands. Peasants have never been subdued by the sword, and never will be. They do not know the use of the sword, they are not frightened by the use of it by others That nation is great which sets its head upon death as its pillow. Those who defy death are free from all fear those who are labouring under the delusive charms of brute force, this picture is not overdrawn. The fact us that, in India, the nation at large has generally used passive resistance in all departments of life We cease to co-operate with our rulers when they displease us This is passive resistance.

I remember an instance when, in a small principality, the villagers were offended by some command issued by the prince. The former immediately began vacating the village. The prince became nervous, apologised to his subjects and withdrew his command. Many such instances

can be found in India Real home rule is possible only where passive resistance is the guiding force of the people. Any other rule is foreign rule.

READER Then you will say that it is not at all necessary for us to train the body?

EDITOR I will certainly not say any such thing. It is difficult to become a passive resister, unless the body is trained. As a rule, the mind, residing in a body that has become weakened by pampering, is also weak, and, where there is no strength of mind, there can be no strength of soul. We will have to improve our physique by getting rid of infant marriages and luxuious living. If I were to ask a man having a shattered body to face a cannon's mouth, I would make of myself a laughing-stock.

READER From what you say, then, it would appear that it is not a small thing to become a passive resister, and, if that is so, I would like you to explain how a man may become a passive resister

EDITOR. To become a passive resister is easy enough, but it is also equally difficult. I have known a lad of fourteen years become a passive resister, I have known also sick people doing likewise, and I have also known physically strong and otherwise happy people being unable to take up passive resistance. After a great deal of experience, it seems to me that those who want to become passive resisters for the service of the country, have to observe perfect chastity, adopt poverty, follow truth, and cultivate fearlessness

Chastity is one of the greatest disciplines without which the mind cannot attain requisite firmness. A manwho is unchaste loses stamina, becomes emasculated and cowardly. He whose mind is given over to animal passions is not capable of any great effort. This can be

person to do, is the question that arises naturally, and yet it need not. When a husband and wife gratify the passions, it is no less an animal indulgence, on that account. Such an indulgence, except for perpetuating the race, is strictly prohibited. But a passive resister has to avoid even that very limited indulgence, because he can have no desire for progeny. A married man, therefore, can observe perfect chastity. This subject is not capable of being treated at greater length. Several questions arise. How is one to carry one's wife with one? What are her rights, and such other questions? Yet those who wish to take part in a great work are bound to solve these puzzles.

Just as there is necessity for chastity, so is therefor poverty. Pecuniary ambition and passive resistance cannot well go together. Those who have money are not expected to throw it away, but they are expected to be indifferent about it. They must be prepared to lose every penny rather than give up passive resistance

Passive resistance has been described in the course of our discussion as truth-force. Truth, therefore, has necessarily to be followed, and that at any cost. In this connection, academic questions such as whether a man may not lie in order to save a life, etc. arise, but these questions occur only to those who wish to justify lying. Those who want to follow truth every time are not placed in such a quandary, and, if they are, they are still saved from a false position

Passive resistance cannot proceed a step without fearlessness. Those alone can follow the path of passive resistance who are free from fear, whether as to their

possessions, false honour, their relatives, the government, bodily injuries, death.

These observances are not to be abandoned in the belief that they are difficult Nature has implanted in the human breast ability to cope with any difficulty or suffering that may come to man unprovoked These qualities are worth having even for those who do not wish to serve the country Let there be no mistake as those who want to train themselves in the use of sims are also obliged to have these qualities more or less Everybody does not become a warrior for the wish A would-be warrior will have to observe chastity, and to be satisfied with poverty as his lot. A warrior without featlessness cannot be conceived of It may be thought that he would not need to be exactly truthful, but that quality follows real fearlessness When a man abandons truth, he does so owing to fear in some shape or form The above four attributes, then, need not frighten any one. It may be as well here to note that a physical-force man has to have many other useless qualities which a passive resister never needs And you will find that whatever extra effort a swordsman needs is due to lack of fearlessness If he is an embodiment of the latter, the sword will drop from his hand that very moment He does not need its support One who is free from hatred requires no swoid A man with a stick suddenly came face to face with a lion, and instructively raised his weapon in self-defence. The man saw that be had only prated about fearlessness when there was none in him That moment he dropped the stick, and found himself free from all fear

### CHAPTER XVIII

#### EDUCATION

READER In the whole of our discussion, you have not demonstrated the necessity for education, we always complain of its absence among us We notice a movement for compulsory education in our country. The Mahareja of Gaekwar has introduced it in his territories. Every eye is directed towards them We bless the Mahareja for it. Is all this effort then of no use?

EDITOR If we consider our civilization to be the highest, I have regretfully to say that much of the effort you have described is of no use. The motive of the Maharaja and other great leaders who have been working in this direction is perfectly pure. They, therefore, undoubtedly deserve great praise. But we cannot conceal from ourselves the result that is likely to flow from their effort.

What is the meaning of education? It simply means a knowledge of letters, it is merely an instrument, and an instrument may be well used or abused. The same instrument that may be used to cure a patient may be used to take his life, and so may a knowledge of letters. We daily observe that many men abuse it, and very few make good use of it, and if this is a coirect statement, we have proved that more harm has been done by it than good

The ordinary meaning of education is a knowledge of letters. To teach boys reading, writing and arithmetic is called primary education. A peasant earns his bread honestly. He has ordinary knowledge of the world. He

knows fairly well how he should behave towards his parents, his wife, his children and his fellow-villagers. He understands and observes the rules of morality. But he cannot write his own name. What do you propose to do by giving him a knowledge of letters? Will you add an inch to his happiness? Do you wish to make him discontented with his cottage or his lot? And even if you want to do that, he will not need such an education Carried away by the flood of Western thought, we came to the couclusion, without weighing pros and cons, that we should give this kind of education to the people

Now let us take higher education I have learned Geography, Astronomy, Algebra, Geometry, etc What of that? In what way have I benefitted myself or those around me? Why have I learned these things? Professor Huxley has thus defined education "That man I think has had a liberal education who has been so trained in wouth that his body is the ready servant of his will and does with ease and pleasure all the work that as a mechanism it is capable of, whose intellect is a clear, cold, logic engine with all its parts of equal strength and in smooth working order . , whose mind is stored with a knowledge of the fundamental truths of nature . . whose passions are trained to come to heel by a vigorous will, the servant of a tender conscience . . . who has learnt to hate all vileness and to respect others as himself. Such an one and no other, I conceive, has had a liberal education, for he is in harmony with Nature. He will make the best of her and she of him."

If this is true education, I must emphatically say that the sciences I have enumerated above I have never been able to use for controlling my senses. Therefore, whether you take elementary education or higher education

at is not required for the main thing. It does not make of us men It does not enable us to do our duty.

READER If that is so, I shall have to ask you another question What enables you to tell all these things to me? If you had not received higher education, how would you have been able to explain to me the things that you have?

EDITOR You have spoken well. But my answer is simple I do not for one moment believe that my life would have been wasted, had I not received higher or lower education. Nor do I consider that I necessarily serve because I speak. But I do desire to serve and, in endeavouring to fulfil that desire, I make use of the education I have received. And, if I am making good use of it, even then it is not for the millions, but I can use it only for such as you, and this supports my contention. Both you and I have come under the bane of what is mainly false education. I claim to have become tree from its ill effects, and I am trying to give you the benefit of my experience, and, in doing so, I am demonstrating the rottenness of this education.

Moreover, I have not run down a knowledge of letters under all circumstances. All I have now shown is that we must not make of it a fetish. It is not our Kamdhuk. In its place it can be of use, and it has its place when we have brought our senses under subjection, and put our ethics on a firm foundation. And then, if we feel inclined to receive that education, we may make good use of it. As an ornament it is likely to sit well on us. It now follows that it is not necessary to make this education compulsory. Our ancient school system is enough. Character-building has the first place in it, and that is

primary education A building erected on that foundation will last

READER Do I then understand that you do not consider English education necessary for obtaining Home Rule?

EDITOR My answer is yes and no To give millions a knowledge of English is to enslave them. The foundation that Macaulay laid of education has enslaved us I do not suggest that he had any such intention, but that has been the result. Is it not a sad commentary that we should have to speak of Home Rule in a foreign tongue?

And it is worthy of note that the systems which the Europeans have discarded, are the systems in vogue among Their learned men continually make changes ignorantly adhere to their cast-off systems trying each division, to improve its own status Wales is a small portion of England Great efforts are being madeto revive a knowledge of Welsh among Welshmen The English Chancellor, Mr Lloyd George, is taking a leadingpart in the movement to make Welsh children speak. Welsh And what is our condition? We write to each other in faulty English, and from this, even our M A's are not free, our best thoughts are expressed in English, the proceedings of our Congress are conducted in English, our best newspapers are printed in English If this state of things continues for a long time, posterity will, it is my firm opinion, condemn and curse us

It is worth noting that, by receiving English education, we have enslaved the nation Hypocrisy, tyranny, etc., have increased, English-knowing Indians have not hesitated to cheat and strike terror into the people. Now, if we are doing anything for the people at all, we are paying only a portion of the debt due to them.

Is it not a painful thing that, if I want to go to a court of justice, I must employ the English language as a medium, that, when I become a barrister, I may not speak my mother-tongue, and that someone else should have to translate to me from my own language? Is not this absolutely absurd? Is it not a sign of slavery? Am I to blame the English for it or myself? It is we, the English knowing men, that have enslaved India. The curse of the nation will rest not upon the English but upon us

I have told you that my answer to your last question is both yes and no. I have explained to you why it is yes. I shall now explain why it is no.

We are so much beset by the disease of civilization. that we cannot altogether do without English education. Those who have already received it may make good use of it wherever necessary In our dealings with the English people, in our dealings with our own people, when we can only correspond with them through that language, and for the purpose of knowing how much disgusted they (the English) have themselves become with their civilization, we may use or learn English, as the case may be Those who have studied English will have to teach morality to their progeny through their mother-tongue, and to teach them another language, but when they have grown up, they may learn English, the ultimate aim being that we should not need it The object of making money thereby should be eschewed. Even in learning English to such a limited extent, we will have to consider what we should learn through it and what we should not It will be necessary to know what sciences we should learn little thought should show you that immediately we cease to care for English degrees, the rulers will prick up their ears.

READER. Then what education shall we give?

This has been somewhat considered above. EDITOR but we will consider it a little more. I think that we have to improve all our languages. What subjects we should learn through them need not be elaborated here Those English books which are valuable we should translate into the various Indian languages We should abandon the pretension of learning many sciences Religious, that is ethical. education will occupy the first place Every cultured Indian will know in addition to his own provincial language, if a Hindu, Sanskiit, if a Mahomedan, Arabic, if a Parsi, Persian, and all, Hindi Some Hindus should know Arabic and Persian, some Mahomedans and Parsis, Sanskrit Several Northerners and Westerners should learn Tamil A universal language for India should be Hindi, with the option of writing it in Persian or Nagari characters In order that the Hindus and the Mahomedans may have closer relations, it is necessary to know both the characters And, if we can do this, we can drive the English language out of the field in a short time All this is necessary for us, slaves Through our slavery the nation has been enslaved, and it will be free with our freedom

READER The question of religious education is very difficult.

EDITOR Yet we cannot do without it India will never be godless Rank atheism cannot flourish in that land. The task is indeed difficult. My head begins to turn as I think of religious education. Our religious teachers are hypocritical and selfish, they will have to be approached. The Mullas, the Dasturs and

the Brahmins hold the key in their hands, but if they will not have the good sense, the energy that we have derived from English education will have to be devoted to religious education. This is not very difficult. Only the fringe of the ocean has been polluted, and it is those who are within the fringe who alone need cleansing. We who come under this category can even cleanse ourselves, because my remarks do not apply to the millions. In order to restore India to its prestine condition, we have to return to it. In our own civilization, there will naturally be progress, retrogression, reforms and reactions, but one effort is required, and that is to drive out Western civilization. All else will follow

## CHAPTER XIX

#### MACHINERY

READER: When you speak of driving out Western civilisation, I suppose you will also say that we want no machinery.

EDITOR By raising this question, you have opened the wound I had received. When I read Mr. Dutt's Economic History of India, I wept, and, as I think of it again my heart sickens. It is machinery that has impoverished India. It is difficult to measure the harm that Manchester has done to us. It is due to Manchester that Indian handicraft has all but disappeared.

But I make a mistake. How can Manchester be blamed? We wore Manchester cloth, and this is why Manchester wove it I was delighted when I read about the bravery of Bengal There were no cloth-mills in that Presidency They were, therefore, able to restore the original hand-weaving occupation. It is true, Bengal encourages the mill-industry of Bombay If Bengal had proclaimed a boycott of all machine-made goods, it would have been much better.

Machinery has begun to desolate Europe Rumation is now knocking at the English gates Machinery is the chief symbol of modern civilisation, it represents a great sin-

The workers in the mills of Bombay have become slaves. The condition of the women working in the mills is shocking. When there were no mills, these women were not starving. If the machinery craze grows in our country, it will become an unhappy land. It may be considered a heresy, but I am bound to say that it were better for us to send money to Manchester and to use flimsy Manchester.

cloth, than to multiply mills in India. By using Manchester cloth we would only waste our money, but by reproducing Manchester in India, we shall keep our money at the price of our blood, because our very moral being will be sapped, and I call in support of my statement the very mill-hands as witnesses And those who have amassed wealth out of factories are not likely to be better than other rich men. It would be folly to assume that an Indian Rockfeller American Rockfeller. the Pluom he hetter than Impoverished India can become free, but it will be hard for any India made rich through immorality to regain its freedom I fear we will have to admit that moneyed men support British rule, their interest is bound up with its stability. Money renders a man helpless. The other thing is as harmful as sexual vice Both are poison A snake-bite is a lesser poison than these two, because the former merely destroys the body, but the latter destroys body, mind and soul We need not, therefore, be pleased with the prospect of the growth of the mill industry

READER Are the mills, then, to be closed down?

EDITOR That is difficult. It is no easy task to do away with a thing that is established. We, therefore, say that the non-beginning of a thing is supreme wisdom. We cannot condemn mill-owners, we can but pity them. It would be too much to expect them to give up their mills, but we may implore them not to increase them. If they would be good, they would gradually contract their business. They can establish in thousands of households the ancient and sacred handlooms, and they can buy out the cloth that may be thus woven. Whether the mill-owners do this or not, people can cease to use machine-made goods.

READER You have so far spoken about machine-made cloth, but there are innumerable machine-made things. We have either to import them or to introduce machinery into our country.

EDITOR Indeed, our goods even are made in Germany What need, then, to speak of matches, pins and glassware? My answer can be only one What did India do before these articles were introduced? Precisely the same should be done to-day. As long as we cannot make pins without machinery, so long will we do without them. The tinsel splendour of glassware we will have nothing to do with, and we will make wicks, as of old, with homegrown cotton, and use hand-made earthen saucers for lamps. So doing, we shall save our eyes and money, and will support Swadeshi, and so shall we attain Home Rule.

It is not to be conceived that all men will do all these things at one time, or that some men will give up all machine-made things at once. But, if the thought is sound, we will always find out what we can give up, and will gradually cease to use this. What a few may do, others will copy, and the movement will grow like the cocoanut of the mathematical problem. What the leaders do, the populace will gladly follow. The matter is neither complicated not difficult. You and I shall not wait until we can carry others with us. Those will be the losers who will not do it, and those who will not do it although they appreciate the truth, will deserve to be called cowards.

READER What, then, of the tram cars and electricity?

EDITOR This question is now too late. It signifies nothing. If we are to do without the railways, we shall have to do without the tram cars. Machinery is like a snake-hole which may contain from one to a hundred

snakes Where there is machinery there are large cities and where there are large cities, there are tram cars and railways and there only does one see electric light English villages do not boast any of these things. Honest physicians will tell you that, where means of artificial locomotion have increased, the health of the people has suffered. I remember that, when in a European town there was a scarcity of money, the receipts of the tramway company, of the lawyers and of the doctors, went down, and the people were less unhealthy. I cannot recall a single good point in connection with machinery. Books can be written to demonstrate its evils.

READER Is it a good point or a bad one that all you are saying will be printed through machinery?

EDITOR This is one of those instances which demonstrate that sometimes poison is used to kill poison. This, then, will not be a good point regarding machinery. As it expires, the machinery, as it were, says to us "Beware and avoid me. You will derive no benefits from me, and the benefit that may accrue from printing will avail only those who are infected with the machinery-craze." Do not, therefore, forget the main thing. It is necessary to realise that machinery is bad. We shall then be able gradually to do away with it. Nature has not provided any way whereby we may reach a desired goal all of a sudden. If, instead of welcoming machinery as a boon, we would look upon it as an evil, it would ultimately go.

## CHAPTER XX

#### CONCLUSION

READER From your views I gather that you would form a third party You are neither an extremist nor a moderate.

EDITOR That is a mistake I do not think of a third party at all. We do not all think alike. We cannot say that all the moderates hold identical views. And how can those who want to serve only have a party? I would serve both the moderates and the extremists. Where I should differ from them, I would respectfully place my position before them, and continue my service.

READER. What, then, would you say to both the parties?

EDITOR I would say to the extremists "I know that you want Home Rule for India, it is not to be had for your asking Everyone will have to take it for himself. What others get for me is not Home Rule but foreign rule, therefore, it would not be proper for you to say that you have obtained Home Rule, if you expelled the English I have already described the 'true nature of Home Rule This you would never obtain by force of arms Brute-force is not natural to the Indian soil You will have, therefore, to rely wholly on soul-force You must not consider that violence is necessary at any stage for reaching our goal"

I would say to the moderates "Mere petitioning is derogatory, we thereby confess inferiority. To say that

British rule is indispensable, is almost a denial of the Godhead We cannot say that anybody or anything is andispensable except God Moreover, common sense should tell us that to state that, for the time being, the presence of the English in India is a necessity, is to make them conceited

"If the English vacated India bag and baggage, it must not be supposed that she would be widowed possible that those who are forced to observe peace under their pressure would fight after their withdrawal can be no advantage in suppressing an eruption; it must have its vent If, therefore, before we can remain at peace, we must fight amongst ourselves, it is better that we do so. There is no occasion for a third party to protect the weak It is this so-called protection which has unnerved us. Such protection can only make the weak weaker. Unless we realise this, we cannot have Home Rule I would paraphrase the thought of an English divine and say that anarchy under home rule were better than orderly foreign rule. Only, the meaning that the learned divine attached to home rule is different to Indian Home Rule according to my conception. We have to learn, and to teach others, that we do not want the tyranny of either English rule or Indian rule"

If this idea were carried out, both the extremists and the moderates could join hands. There is no occasion to fear or distrust one another

READER. What, then, would you say to the English?

EDITOR To them I would respectfully say "I admit you are my rulers. It is not necessary to debate the question whether you hold India by the sword or by my consent. I have no objection to your remaining in my

country, but although you are the rulers, you will have to remain as servants of the people. It is not we who have to do as you wish, but it is you who have to do as we wish. You may keep the riches that you have drained away from this land, but you may not drain riches henceforth. Your function will be, if you so wish, to police India, you must abandon the idea of deliving any commercial benefit from us. We hold the civilisation that you support to be the reverse of civilisation We consider our civilisation to be far superior to yours If you realise this truth, it will be to your advantage, and if you do not, according to your own proverb, you should only live in our country in the same manner as we do You must not do anything that is your duty as religions It is contrary to Our sake of the Hindus, you the rulers that. for should eschew beef, and for the sake of the Mahomedans, you should avoid bacon and ham We have hitherto said nothing, because we have been cowed down, but you need not consider that you have not hurt our feelings by your conduct We are not expressing our sentiments either through base selfishness or fear, but because it is our duty now to speak out boldly We consider your schools and law courts to be useless We want our own ancient schools and courts to be restored The common language of India is not English but Hindi. You should, therefore, learn it We can hold communication with you only in our national language

"We cannot tolerate the idea of your spending money on railways and the military We see no occasion for either. You may fear Russia, we do not When she comes we will look after her. If you are with us, we will then receive her jointly. We do not need any European cloth. We will manage, with articles produced

and manufactured at home. You may not keep one eye on Manchester, and the other on India We can work together only if our interests are identical

"This has not been said to you in arrogance You have great military resources. Your naval power is matchless. If we wanted to fight with you on your own ground, we should be unable to do so, but, if the above submissions be not acceptable to you, we cease to play the ruled. You may, if you like, cut us to pieces. You may shatter us at the cannon's mouth. If you act contrary to our will, we will not help you, and, without our help, we know that you cannot move one step forward.

"It is likely that you will laugh at all this in the intoxication of your power. We may not be able to disillusion you at once, but, if there be any manliness in us, you will see shortly that your intoxication is suicidal, and that your laugh at our expense is an aberration of intellect. We believe that, at heart you belong to a religious nation. We are living in a land which is the source of religions. How we came together need not be considered, but we can make mutual good use of our relations.

"You English who have come to India are not a good specimen of the English nation, nor can we almost half-Anglicised Indians, be considered a good specimen of the real Indian nation. If the English nation were to know all you have done, it would oppose many of your actions. The mass of the Indians have had few dealings with you. If you will abandon your so called civilisation, and search into your own scriptures, you will find that our demands are just. Only on conditions of our demands being fully satisfied may you remain in India, and, if you remain under those conditions we shall learn several things from you, and you will learn many from us. So doing, we

shall benefit each other and the world But that will happen only when the root of our relationship is sunk in a religious soil"

READER. What will you say to the nation?

EDITOR Who is the nation?

READER. For our purposes it is the nation that you and I have been thinking of, that is those of us who are affected by European civilisation, and who are eager to have Home Rule.

EDITOR To these I would say "It is only those Indians who are imbued with real love who will be able to speak to the English in the above strain without being frightened, and those only can be said to be so imbued who conscientiously believe that Indian civilization is the best, and that European is a nine days' wonder Such ephemeral civilizations have often come and gone, and will continue to do so. Those only can be considered to be so imbued, who, having experienced the force of the soul within themselves, will not cower before brute-force, and will not, on any account, desire to use brute-force Thôse only can be considered to have been so imbued who are intensely dissatisfied with the present pitiable condition having already drunk the cup of poison

If there be only one such Indian, he will speak as above to the English, and the English will have to listen to him.

These demands are not demands, but they show our mental state. We will get nothing by asking, we shall have to take what we want, and we need the requisite strength for the effort and that strength will be available to him only who

- 1 will on rare occasions make use of the English language,
- 2 if a lawyer, will give up his profession, and take up a hand-loom,
- 3 if a lawyer, will devote his knowledge to enlightening both his people and the English,
- 4. If a lawyer, will not meddle with the quarrels between parties but will give up the courts and from his experience induce the people to do likewise,
- 5. if a lawyer, will refuse to be a judge, as he will give up his profession,
- 6 if a doctor, will give up medicine, and understand that rather than mending bodies, he should mend souls,
- 7 if a doctor, will understand that no matter to what religion he belongs, it is better that bodies remain diseased rather than that they are cured through the instrumentality of the disbolical vivisection that is practised in European schools of medicine
- 8 although a doctor, will take up a hand-loom and, if any patients come to him, will tell them the cause of their diseases, and will advise them to remove the cause rather than pamper them by giving useless drugs, he will understand that, if by not taking drugs, perchance the patient dies, the world will not come to grief, and that he will have been really meiciful to him,
- 9. although a wealthy man, regardless of his wealth, will speak out his mind and fear no one,
- 10 if a wealthy man, will devote his money to establishing hand-looms, and encourage others to use hand-made goods by wearing them himself,

- 11 like every other Indian, will know that this is a time for repentance, expiation and mourning,
- 12 like every other Indian, will know that to blame the English is useless, that they came because of us, and remain also for the same reason, and that they will either go or change their nature only when we reform ourselves,
- 13 like others, will understand that, at a time of mourning, there can be no indulgence, and that, whilst we are in a fallen state, to be in gaol or in banishment is much the best.
- 14 like others, will know that it is superstition to imagine it necessary that we should guard against being imprisoned in order that we may deal with the people,
- 15 like others, will know that action is much better than speech, that it is our duty to say exactly what we think and face the consequences, and that it will be only then that we shall be able to impress anybody with our speech,
- 16 like others, will understand that we will become free only through suffering,
- 17 like others, will understand that deportation for life to the Andamans is not enough expiation for the sin of encouraging European civilisation
- 18 like others, will know that no nation has risen without suffering, that even in physical warfare, the true test is suffering and not the killing of others, much more so in the warfare of passive resistance,
- 19 like others, will know that it is an idle excuse to say that we will do a thing when the others also do it; that we should do what we know to be right, and that others will do it when they see the way, that, when I fancy a particular delicacy, I do not wait till others taste it; that to make a national effort and to suffer are in the

nature of delicacies; and that to suffer under pressure is no suffering

READER This is a large order. When will all carry it out?

EDITOR You make a mistake You and I have nothing to do with the others. Let each do his duty. If I do my duty, that is, serve myself, I shall be able to serve others Before I leave you, I will take the liberty of repeating.

- 1. Real home-rule is self-rule or self-control
- 2 The way to it is passive resistance, that is soul-force or love-force
- 3. In order to exert this force, Swadeshi in every sense is necessary.
- 4 What we want to do should be done, not because we object to the English or that we want to retaliate, but because it is our duty to do so Thus, supposing that the English remove the salt-tax, restore our money, give the highest posts to Indians, withdraw the English troops, we shall certainly not use their machine-made goods, nor use the English language, nor many of their industries. It is worth noting that these things are, in their nature, harmful, hence we do not want them. I bear no enmity towards the English but I do towards their civilisation.

In my opinion, we have used the term "Swaray" without understanding its real significance. I have endeavoured to explain it as I understand it, and my conscience testifies that my life henceforth is dedicated to its attainment.

## APPENDICES

## SOME AUTHORITIES

#### AND

# TESTIMONIES BY EMINENT MEN

## 1. Some Authorities

The following books are recommended for perusal to follow up the study of the foregoing:

- "The Kingdom of God is Within You"-Tolstoy
- "What is Art?"-Tolstoy
- "The Slavery of Our Times"—Tolstoy
- " The First Step "-Tolstoy
- " How Shall We Escape?"-Tolstoy
- " Letter to a Hindu."—Tolstoy
- "The White Slaves of England"-Sherard
- "Civilisation Its Cause and Cure"—Carpenter.
- "The Fallacy of Speed "-Taylor
- " A New Crusade "-Blount
- "On the Duty of Civil Disobedience"—Thoreau.
- " Life Without Principle"-Thoreau
- " Unto This Last"-Ruskin
- " A Joy for Ever"—Ruskin
- " Duties of Man."-Mazzini
- " Defence and Death of Sociates"-Plato
- " Paradoxes of Civilisation "-Max Nordau
- " Poverty and Un-British Rule in India "-Naoron
- " Economic History of India "-Dutt
- " Village Communities"-Maine

# 11. Testimonies by Eminent Men

The following extracts from Mr. Alfred Webb's valuable collection, show that the ancient Indian civilization has little to learn from the modern:—

## J SEYMOUR KEAY, M.P.

Banker in India and India Agent.

(Writing in 1883)

"It cannot be too well understood that our position in India has never been in any degree that of civilians bringing civilisation to savage races When we landed in India. we found there a hoary civilisation which, during the progress of thousands of years, had flitted itself into the character and adjusted itself to the wants of highly untellectual races The civilisation was not perfunctory. but universal and all-pervading-furnishing the country not only with political systems, but with social and domestic institutions of the most ramified description heneficent nature of these institutions as a whole may be audged from their effects on the character of the Hindn race. Perhaps there are no other people in the world who show so much in their characters the advantageous effects of their own civilisation They are shrewd in business. acute in reasoning, thrifty, religious, sober, charitable. obedient to parents, reverential to old age, amiable, lawabiding, compassionate towards the helpless, and patient under suffering "

#### VICTOR COUSIN

### Founder of Systematic Eclecticism in Philosophy (1792-1867)

"On the other hand when we read with attention the poetical and philosophical movements of the East, above all, those of India, which are beginning to spread in Europe, we discover there so many truths, and truths, so profound, and which make such a contrast with the meanness of the results at which the European genius has sometimes stopped, that we are constrained to bend the knee before that of the East, and to see in this cradle of the human race the native land of the highest philosophy."

#### FRIEDRICH MAX MULLER

"If I were to ask myself from what literature we here in Europe, we who have been nurtured almost exclusively on the thoughts of Greeks and Romans, and of one Semetic race, the Jewish, may draw that corrective which is most wanted in order to make our inner life more perfect, more comprehensive, more universal, in fact more truly human, a life, not for this life only, but a transfigured and eternal life—again I should point to India"

### FREDERIĆK VON SCHLEGEL

"It cannot be denied that the early Indians possessed a knowledge of the true God, all their writings are replete with sentiments and expressions, noble, clear, and severely grand, as deeply conceived and reverently expressed as in any human language in which men have spoken of their God. . Among nations possessing indigenous philosophy and metaphysics, together with an innate relish for these pursuits, such as at present characterises Germany, and, in older times, was the proud distinction of Greece, Hindustan holds the first rank in point of time

#### ABBE J. A. DUBOIS

Missionary in Mysore, Extracts from letter dated Seringapatam, 15th December, 1820.

"The authority of married women within their houses as chiefly exerted in preserving good order and peace among the persons who compose their families, and a great many among them discharge this important duty with a prudence and a discretion which have scarcely a parallel in Europe I have known families composed of between thirty and forty persons, or more, consisting of grown up sons and daughers, all married and all having children. living together under the superintendence of an old matron —their mother or mother-in-law The latter, by good management, and by accommodating herself temper of the daughters-in-law, by using, according to circumstances, firmness or ferbearance, succeeded in preserving peace and haimony during many years amongst so many females, who had all jarring tempers. I ask you whether it would be possible to attain the same end, in the same circumstances, in our countries, where it is scarcely possible to make two women living under the same roof to agree together.

"In fact, there is perhaps no kind of honest employment in a civilised country in which the Hindu females have not a due share. Besides the management of the household, and the care of the family, which (as already noticed) under their control the wives and daughters of husbandmen attend and assist their husbands and fathers in the labours of agriculture. Those of tradesmen assist theirs in carrying on their trade. Merchants are attended and assisted by theirs in their shops. Many females are shopkeepers on their own account; and without a knowledge of the alphabet or, of the decimal scale, they keep by other means their accounts in excellent order, and are considered as still shrewder than the males themselves in their commercial dealings."

#### J YOUNG

Secretary, Savon Mechanics Institutes (within recent years)

"Those races, [the Indian viewed from a moia]. aspect] are perhaps the most remarkable people in the world They breathe an atmosphere of moral punty, which cannot but excite admiration, and this is especially the case with the poorer classes who, notwithstanding the privations of their humble lot, appear to be happy and contented True children of nature, they live on from day to day, taking no thought of the morrow and thankful for the simple fare which Providence has provided for them. It is curious to witness the spectacle of coolies of both sexes returning home at nightfall after a hard day's work. often lasting from sunrise to sunset In spite of fatigue from the effects of the unremitting toil, they are, for the most part, gay and animated, conversing cheerfully together and occasionally breaking into snatches of lighthearted song. Yet what awaits them on their return to the hovels which they call home? A dish of rice for food, and the floor for a bed. Domestic felicity appears to be the rule among the Natives, and this is the more strange when the customs of marriage are taken into account, parents. arranging all such matters. Many Indian households afford examples of the married state in its highest degree of perfection This may be due to the teachings of the Shastras, and to the strict injunctions which they inculcate with regard to marital obligation, but it is no exaggeration to say that husbands are generally devotedly attached to their wives, and in many instances the latter have the most exalted conception of their duties towards their bushands"

#### COLONEL THOMAS MUNRO

Thirty-two years' service in India

"If a good system of agriculture, univalled manufacturing skill, a capacity to produce whatever can contribute to convenience or luxury, schools established in every village, for teaching, reading, writing and arithmetic, the general practice of hospitality and charity among each other, and, above all, a treatment of the female sex, full of confidence, respect and delicacy, are among the signs which denote a civilised people, then the Hindus are not inferior to the nations of Europe, and if civilisation is to become an article of trade between the two countries, I am convinced that this country [England] will gain by the import cargo"

### SIR WILLIAM WEDDERBURN, BART

"The Indian village has thus for centuries remained a bulwark against political disordor, and the home of the simple domestic and social virtues. No wonder, therefore, that philosophers and historians have always dwelt lovingly on this ancient institution which is the natural social unit and the best type of rural life, self-contained, industrious, peace-loving, conservative in the best sense of the word. I think you will agree with me that there is much that is both picturesque and attractive in this glimpse of social and domestic life in an Indian village. It is a harmless and happy form of human existence. Moreover, it is not without good practical outcome."

4th Edn 2000 Copies September 1934 G A Natesan & Co, George Town, Madras

ON

### THE INDIAN REVIEW

The Rt Hon Ramsay MacDonald—It has been a very active pioneer in what has been a real value to Indian nationalism

Lord Hardinge of Penhurst —I have every confidence in its fature being as successful and useful as its past

Lord Olivier —I congratulate the Indian Review on the increasing excellence of the qualities of its contents

Mr Frederic Harrison—Enterprising Review which seems likely to bring Great Britain to our fellow citizens in India more closely together

Sir Frederick Whyte—It is well known not only in India but outside, and has many admirers in England

Lord Clwyd—Throughout this period the Review has maintained unbroken its high literary standard

Sir Muhammad Habibullah—I may claim that I have been a careful reader of the Review for the last two decades and I must add my own tribute to the great usefulness and importance of this journal from every point of view

Sir Alexander Cardew — It is a living force in Indian journalism

Sir P C Ray —Readers of diverse taste always find in them (the contents) a rich intellectual treat

Sn Stanley Reed —The Review has stood above rancour and passion—It has been a powerful force in India for reasoned progress

M: W L Courtney —I feel certain that the INDIAN REVIEW fulfils a most useful task conducted throughout by high motives

Mr Arthur Moore—I read it with unfailing interest and wish we had in India more such Reviews

F H Brown, CIE, of the London Times—In the variety, topicality and treshness of its contents, the Indian Review is easily first among Indian monthlies

#### Single Copy, As. 8 or One Shilling

Annual subscription Rs 5 or Twelve Shillings including postage Subscriptions can commence from any month but a few copies of back numbers for the current year are also available

#### IF YOU WANT

#### TO BE IN TOUCH WITH INDIA

her political, social and industrial activities, her history, tradition and literature, her religion and philosophy, her hopes and aspirations for the future, and the men and women who labour for the upliftment of their Motherland

YOU MUST SUBSCRIBE TO

### THE INDIAN REVIEW

THE BEST, THE CHEAPEST AND THE MOST

UP-TO-DATE MONTHLY

#### EDITED BY MR. G. A. NATESAN.

The Indian Review is an All-India Monthly Magazine devoted to the discussion of all topics of general interest with special reference to India. It caters to the taste of all classes of readers Among its contributors are well-known European and Indian scholars, officials and non-officials, politicians and reformers

THE INDIAN REVIEW serves as a link between the motherland and Indians scattered in different parts of the world The condition of our countrymen in East and South Africa, in Canada, Australia and the United States, in Trinidad, in Fiji, Burma, Ceylon, Straits Settlements and Malaya and in other countries is reviewed in these pages from time to time, thus a speciality of the Review is to uphold their cause and vindicate their ciaims

The Indian Review circulates in hundreds of Mofussil Stations in India, Burma, Ceylon and the Straits, is read in all Educational Institutions, Clubs, Reading Rooms, Bar Libraries, Business Houses and Trading Centres and reaches all classes, communities and grades of people The list of subscribers includes many in the British Isles, U S A, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Straits Settlements, Fiji, Japan, China, Germany, Sweden and France

[With such a magazine as the Indian Review, it is impossible to question the serious interest of our fellow-subjects in the dependency in all matters which affect world progress. The striking feature of such magazines is the detached and impartial spirit which animates the writers of the articles and the ready reproof of any utterance which belittles the high ambition of the Indian nation to deserve the respect of all nations ]— The Review of Reviews

Single copy, As 8 of One Shilling

Annual Subsn Including postage, Rs. 5 (Twelve Shillings)

G. A. NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, G T., MADRAS.

### "भारतं भवने यस्य तस्य इस्तगती जयः।"

•• For him whose house possesses the Bharata, success is sure.

### THE MAHABHARATA

CONDENSED IN THE POET'S OWN WORDS

Text in Devanagari and English Translation.

COMPILED BY

PANDIT A M SRINIVASACHARIAR

TRANSLATED INTO ENGLISH

By DR V RAGHAVAN, MA, Ph D.

WITH A FOREWORD BY

#### PROF RADHAKRISHNAN

The great epic of Sage Vyasa has been admirably condensed in this handy book. The thread of the main story is seen here clearly together with the best portions of the dialogues and the essence of the discourses. Indeed, it is Vyasa himself speaking, not the compiler.

The text in Devanagari is accompanied by an eminently readable English Translation, which has striven to preserve the spirit of the original.

Natesan's Mahabharata is indispensable both to those to whom the Sanskrit original is inaccessible and to those who want the thread of the main story, unburdened by the big digressions.

A fitting companion volume to Natesan's "Ramayana" Re 1-4. To Subs of the "Indian Review", Re One.

Foreign 2sh Postage 8d

Congress Presidential Addresses. (In 2 Vols) Containing full text of Presidential Addresses from 1885 to 1934 First volume from the Foundation to the Silver Jubilee (1885 to 1910) Second volume from the Silver to the Golden Jubilee (1911 to 1934) Price Rs 4 each To Subs of "I R" Rs 3-8 each.

Indian National Evolution By Amvika Charan Mazumdar A brief survey of the origin and progress of the Indian National Congress and the Growth of the Indian Nation Rs 3 To Subs of "Indian Review", Rs 2-8

Mahatma Gandhi's Speeches and Writings. An omnibus edition containing over 1100 pages New Edition Rs 4 To Subs of Indian Review, Rs 3-8

Swami Vivekananda's Speeches & Writings. With 2 portraits 7th Edn. Rs 3 To Subs of "I R" Rs 2-8

The Mission of Our Master Essays and Discourses by the members and disciples of the Sri Ramakrishna Mission Rs 3 To Subs of "I R" Rs 2-8

The Governance of India By Babu Govinda Das Rs 3 To Subs of the "Indian Review", Rs 2-8

Indians Overseas By Dr Lanka Sundaram, MA CONTENTS —Introductory Survey, Kangani System for Ceylon and Malaya Indians in Ceylon Indians in Malaya The Indenture System Indians in the West Indias. Indians in Fiji, Mauritius and Canada. Indians in East Africa Indians in the Union of South Africa Epilogue Re 1-8 To Subscribers of "IR" Re 1

Book are given at concession rates only to subscribers of the "Indian Review" Any one who wishes to buy books at concession rates must remit Rs 5 (Five) one year's subscription to the "Indian Review" in advance Foreign Subscriptions. Great Britain 12sh (Twelve Shillings), U.S.A. 3 Dollars

G. A NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS

## VALMIKI RAMAYANA

CONDENSED IN THE POET'S OWN WORDS

Text in Devanagarı and English Translation

BY VIDYASAGARA-VIDYAVACHASPATI

PROF. P P S. SASTRI, B A., (OXON), M A.

FOREWORD

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

THE RT HON V S SRINIVASA SASTRI, PC, CH, LLD

Mr Natesan, who published over a quarter of a century ago a popular edition of the Bhagavad Gita and also of the Select Works of Sri Sankaracharya with the text in Devanagari and an English translation, has now enriched Indian classical literature with another valuable publication quite unique in character He has succeeded in the almost impossible task of presenting a condensed version in the poet's own words of the immortal Indian epic Valmiki Ramayana The story is told by the poet himself, the passages placed before the reader are selected passages from the poem itself We have the story of the epic in full, together with the renowned dialogues, the beautiful character sketches and the many inimitable descriptions There is nothing in this abridgment which is not Valmiki's

It is sincerely hoped that this popular edition of the Great Indian Epic will find its way to thousands of homes in India and elsewhere and will find favour with the students of our schools and colleges

### Price Re 1-4

To Subscribers of the "Indian Review", Re 1

### Foreign 2sh Postage 8d

Books are given at concession rates only to subs. of the "Indian Review" Any one who wishes to buy books at concession rates must remit Rs 5 (Five) one year's subscription to the "Indian Review" in advance Fo eign Subscriptions: Great Britain 12 sh (Twelve Shillings) U S A 3 Dollars

### Sankara's Select Works

Sanskrit Text and English Translation

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ 

#### MR. S. VENKATARAMANAN, BA

Contents: Hymn to Harn, The Ten-Versed flymn, Hymn to Dakshinamurti, Direct Realisation, The Century of Verses, Knowledge of Self, Commentary on the Text, Definition of one's own Self.

Preface: The main object of this publication is to present, in simple English, some of the works of Sri Sankaracharya in which he tried to expound, in a popular style, the philosophy of the non-dualistic Vedanta of which he was the well known founder. With this view the present translation has been rendered free of technical words and phrases hoped that the juxtaposition of the Sanskrit text and the English translation will serve the double object of enabling the student of Sanskrit to understand the text better and to correct, by a reference to the text, any defect of expression in the translation as an inevitable result of the attempt to garb it in a popular style To those that have had no training in metaphysics or dialectics and have neither the lessure nor the capacity to read the original standard works of Sankara, a publication of this kind should be specially helpful for a proper understanding of the broad outline of Sankara's philosophy of non-dualism

Swarajya —"These are complete poems on the Absolute truth, which no sincere seeker can afford to miss"

Rs. Two. To Subs. of "Indian Review" Re 1-8 as
Foreign Three Shillings Postage 9d

G A NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

# Natesan's National Literature Speeches and Writings of

RAJA RAM MOHUN ROY SWAMI VIVEKANANDA SIR J C. BOSE DR P C RAY LORD SINHA SAROJINI NAIDU DR ANNIE BESANT SIR WM WEDDERBURN MADAN MOHAN MALAVIYA SIR DINSHAW WACHA

Price of each Vol. Rs. 3 (Three)
To Subs of the "Indian Review" Rs. 2-8.

#### Uniform with the above

Indian Judges Biographical and critical sketches of twenty-one eminent High Court Judges Rs. 3

Indian Statesmen. Biographical sketches of famous Dewans and Prime Ministers of Indian States Rs 3

Indian Scientists Biographical sketches with an account of their Researches, discoveries, etc. Rs. 3.

Famous Parsis. Biographical sketches of politicians, philanthropists, captains of industry, etc. Rs 3.

Eminent Mussulmans Biographical sketches of Muslim Statesmen, Poets, Reformers, etc. Rs 3.

Indian Christians Biographical sketches of poets, publicists, Ministers of the Church, etc. Rs 3

Mission of Our Master. By the Disciples of Ramakrishna and Vivekananda Rs 3

Hinduism. By Babu Govenda Das Rs 3

Indian National Evolution By A C. Mazumdar Rs 3

Governance of India. By Babu Govinda Das Rs. 3. To Subs of the Indian Review, Rs 2-8 each.

Gandhi's Speeches, Rs. 4. To Subs. "IR" Rs. 38. Gokhale's Speeches. Rs. 4. To Subs. "IR." Rs. 3-8.

G. A. NATESAN & CO., PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

### Mahatma Gandhi's

### SPEECHES AND WRITINGS

THIS omnibus Edition of Mahatma Gandhi's Speeches and Writings is revised and considerably enlarged with the addition of a number of important articles from Young India and Navagivan (rendered into English) The collection has over 1.100 pages of well-arranged matter ranging over the whole period of Gandhin's public life the volume begins with the South Airican Indian question and covers his views on indentured labour and Indians in the Colonies, his jail experiences in South Africa, his pronouncements on the Kaira and Champaran affairs. his discourses on the Rowlatt Bills and Satyagraha, and his Young India articles on the Non-Co-Operation Movement including select papers on the Khilafat and Punjab wrongs, the Congress, Swadeshi, National Education and Swaroj. It also includes Mahatma Gandhi's famous letters to the three Viceroys—Lord Chelmsford, Lord Reading and Lord Irwin, his historic Statement before the Court at the great trial. his Congress Presidential Address and other public utterances, his Speeches and Messages during his great march to the Salt pans of Dandi, the full text of his agreement with Lord Irwin and the Speeches implementing the Pact then there are his Speeches at the Second Round Table Conference followed by the Willingdon-Gandhi correspondence Another Section contains his important studies on the Hindu-Muslim question, as also the Statements on the Problem of Untouchability following the historic letter to Mr. Ramsay MacDonald and the Epic Fast which resulted in changing the Premier's Award Yet another Section gives his reminiscences Indians like Gokhale, Pherozeshah of great Mehta, Bal Gangadhar Tilak, C  ${f R}$ Das. etc The last Section contains chapters on a variety of subjects of miscellaneous interest ending with his famous gramophone record on God

With numerous Illustrations and an Index.

Cloth Bound Fourth Edition. Rs 4.

To Subs of the "Indian Review", Rs 3-8

G A. NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

### MAHATMA GANDHI

#### THE MAN AND HIS MISSION

This is an enlarged and up-to-date Edition of Mr Gandhi's life and teachings, with an account of his activities in South Africa and India, a sketch of the Non-Co-Operation Movement, his great march in connection with "Salt Satyagraha", his arrest and internment, the Slocombe interview, the Sapru-Jayakar negotiations, the Round Table Conference, the Gandhi-Irwin Pact, the Rupture and the agreement with Lord Willingdon, down to his departure for London to attend the Second Round Table Conference and his message from S. S. Rojputana. With appreciations by the Rt. Hon. Sastri, Fagore, Romain Rolland, Rev Holmes, Sarojini Naidu, Mr.-C. F. Andrews, Mr and Mrs. Polak, Lord Irwin, etc.

Ninth Edition

Re 1 To Subs of the "Indian Review", As. 12

### Hind Swaraj or Indian Home Rule

#### BY MAHATMA GANDHI

"It is certainly my good fortune that this booklet is receiving wide attention it is a book which can be put into the hands of a child '-Mahatma Gandhi '-

Fourth Edition As. 8

To Subscribers of the Indian Review, As 6

### ON KHADDAR

#### BY DR PATTABH! SITARAMAYYA

In this handy book, Dr Pattabhi Sitaramayya traces the causes that led to the destruction of many ancient crafts and industries of this country. He also illustrates by facts and figures the great advance the country has made by the step Mahatma Gandhi took by exalting the question of Khaddar on to the pedestal of a patriotic plank in the Congress platform and the measures adopted for the boycott of foreign cloth.

As 8 To Subscribers of the Indian Review, As 6.

"G. A NATESAN & Co., Publishers, Madras.

### **Indians Overseas**

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

DR LANKA SUNDARAM, MA, Ph.D. (LOND)

"Indians Overseas" is a first systematic study of the manifold problems faced by the 27 lakhs of Indians in different parts of the world A distinguished student of politics and economics Dr Lanka Sundaram has made a name for himself as a competent enquirer into India's extra-territorial problems The present study of the condition and prospects of Indians Overseas is a handy and authoritative book dealing with every aspect of the life of emigrant Indians "Indians Overseas" is divided into 10 Chapters Introductory Survey, Kangani System for Cevlon and Malava Indians in Ceylon Indians in Malaya The Indenture System Indians in the West Indies Indiana in Fig., Mauritius and Canada Indiana in East Africa Indians in the Union of South Africa Epilogue, Every chapter is an epitome of a thorough study of the Indian Question abroad

Price Re 1-8 or Two Shillings Six Pence.

To Subs of the "IR." Re, 1-4 or 1sh 6d

### Indian Judges

WITH A FOREWORD BY SIR B. L MITTER

This is a collection of sketches of famous Indian Judges who have adorned our courts during the last half a century with particular attention to the Causes Celebre with which each Judge was connected

CONTENTS:—Sir T Muthuswami Aiyar, Nanabhai Haridas, Dwarkanath Mitter, Mahadev Govind Ranade, Sir S Subramania Aivar, Sir V Bashyam Iyengar, Badruddin Tyabji; Sir Gurudas Banerjee, Sir P C Bannerjee, Sarada Charan Mitra, Sir Syed Amir Ali. Kashinath T Telang, Justice Mahmood, Sir Romesh Ch Mitter, Sir N Chandavarkar, Sir C, Sankaran Nair, Sir Asutosh Mukerjea, V Krishnesawmi Aiyar, Justice Shah Din, Sir Shadi Lal and Sir Abdur Rahim

WITH ILLUSTRATIONS

Price Rs 3 To Subs of the "I,R", Rs 2-8

G. A NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

### Tales from the Sanskrit Dramatists

#### THE FAMOUS PLAYS OF

Kalidasa, Bhasa, Harsha, Sudraka,

BHAVABHUTI AND VISAKHADATTA

These tales which range over the vast field of Sanskrit Drama, from Bhasa down to Visakhadatta, writen on the lines of Lamb's "Tales from Shakespeare" bring the treasures of the classics within reach of the lay reader in easy and elegant English prose

Contributors Prof M. Hiriyanna, Dr. S K De MA, Dr Kunban Raja, MA Dr Subramania Sastri, G l. Somayaji MA, T L Krishnaswams Iyer, MA, and Mrs. Kamala Satthianadhan, M.A.

CONTENTS Vision of Vasavadatta, Avimaraka, Sakuntala, Malavikagnimitra Vikramorvasiya, Mricchakatika, Malati-Madhava, Uttara-Ramacharita, Nagananda, Ratnavali and Mudrarakshasa.

#### SOME SELECT OPINIONS

Times of India —"It was a happy idea of Natesan and Company, Madras, to publish a book on the lines of 'Lamb's Tales from Shakespeare' containing some of the well known Sanskrit dramas"

Rangoon Times — "The Tales are written in an. easy and elegant style"

The Hindu -" Eminently interesting collection."

The Leader — 'Style and language of the book' 18 good"

Bombay Chronicle —"Gives in a very pleasant and readable way the substance of all that is best"

Searchlight —" They have been written in an easy and elegant English'

United India and Indian States — "Should find a place in every school, college, private and public library."

Triven: —"The approach to the classics has been made quite easy,'

Swarojya — "Will be of very great use especially to those who are just lisping in Sanskrit"

Second Edition, Revised and enlarged. Rs 2 To Subcribers of the 'IR" Re 1-8

### THE HEART OF HINDUSTHAN

By Dr. Sir S. RADHAKRISHNAN, MA, Ph D

Prof Radhakrishnan presents to the Western World in his own mimitable language all that is truest. moblest and best in Hindu religion and thought

CONTENTS The Heart of Hinduism, The Hindu Idea of God, The Hindu Dharma, Islam and Indian Thought, Hindu Thought and Christian Doctrine. Buddhism, and Indian Philosophy SOME SELECT OPINIONS

The Hindu -" An admirable survey and interpre-

tation of Hindu thought."

Mysore Economic Journal :- "Presents in simple graceful English the most abstruse problems which for ages have agitated the human mind"

Young Men of India: - Gives in essence the Professor's interpretation of the religious situation in

India and his message for the times"

Second and Revised Edition. Re One To Subs of the "Indian Review", As 12

#### 9th Edition

### HE BHAGAVAD GITA WITH THE TEXT IN DEVANAGARI AND

AN ENGLISH TRANSLATION BY DR. ANNIE BESANT

More than a lakh and thirty thousand copies of this sacred Scripture of the Hindus have already been sold and now another ten thousand copies of this astonishingly cheap edition are assued for the benefit of the public. The slokas are printed in Sanskrit Devanagari

SOME SELECT OPINIONS

The Modern Review -" Mr Natesan is bidding fair to be the Indian Routledge This finely printed edition of a well known and excellent translation has been here offered at an impossibly cheap price, and it should make its way to every Indian home and heart "

The Leader -" The price is only four annas, the get-up excellent and the size and bulk very handy."

Handy Pocket Wdition
Price per copy Annas Four (not As 2) Price of a single copy by V P r is As 8 (Eight).
Two copies 13 As Three copies Re, 1 2 Four copies Re 1-8

### Religion and Philosophy

The Heart of Hindusthan By Dr. Sir S. Radha-krishnan Contents The Heart of Hinduism. The Hindu Dharma The Hindu Idea of God, Islam and Indian Thought, Hindu Thought and Christian Doctrine, Buddhism and Indian Philosophy 2nd Addition Re One To Subs of the "IR' As 12.

The First Four Caliphs Abu Bakr, Omar, Osman and Alı By Ahmed Shafi Re 1 To Subs "I R" As 12.

'Prophet Muhammad. Life by Ahmed Shafi His Teachings by Moulana Yakub Hasan As 12 To Subs of the "Indian Review" As 10.

'Life and Teachings of Buddha By Sri Anagarika Dharmapala. As 12, fo Subs of 'IR'' As 10

Chaitanya to Vivekananda Lives of the Saints of Bengal Re. 1-8 To Subs of "IR" Re 1-4.

Ramanand to Ram Tirath Lives of the Saints of N India Re 1-8 To Subs of 'IR" Re 1-4.

Hindu Ethics. By Govinda Das Edited by Dr Ganganath Jha Rs 2 To Subs of "IR" Re. 1-8.

Hinduism A Hand-book of Hindu Religion By Govinda Das Rs 3 To Subs. of 'I R." Rs 2-8

Leaders of the Brahmo Samaj Recounts the lives and teachings of Raja Ram Mohan Roy and other Brahmo leaders Re 1-8 To Subs of 'L.R." Re 1 4

Sri Krishna's Messages and Revelations By Baba Bharati As 8 To Subs. of 'I.R" As, 6

Light on Life Six Spiritual Discourses By Baba Bharati Re 1 To Subs of 'IR" As 12

Swami Vivekananda's Speeches and Writings A comprehensive collection Hs 3 10 Subs '1.R" Hs 2-8.

Mission of Our Master By Disciples of Ramakrishna and Vivekananda Rs 3. Fo Subs of "I.R' Rs 2-8

Three Great Acharyas: Sankara, Ramanuja and Madhwa Rs 2 1'6 Subs of 1 R" Re 1-8

Sri Sankaracharya's Select Works (Text in Devanagari) English Translation by 8 Venkataramanan, Rs 2 To Subs of "IR" Re 1-8

Aspects of the Vedanta By various writers New Edition Re 1. To Subs of "I.R." As 12

G. A. NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

### Sankara's Select Works

With Sanskrit Text and English Translations

BY MR S. VENKATARAMANAN

CONTENTS —Hymn to Harr, The ten-versed Hymn, Hymn to Dekehinamurth, Direct Realization, The Century of Verses; Knowledge of Self, Commentary on the fext, Definition of one sown self

The main object of this invaluable publication is to present in simple English some of the works of Sri Sankaracharya in which he tried to expound in a popular style the philosophy of the non dualistic Vedanta of which he was the well known founder

Rs 2. To Subscribers of the "I R." Re 1-8.

### Three Great Acharyas

SANKARA, RAMANUJA AND MADHWA

This is an attempt to bring under one cover critical sketches of the life and times of these great religious leaders and an exposition of their philosophies

CONTRIBUTORS TO THE VOLUME

Pandit Tatvabhushan, Dr Krishnaswamy Aiyangar, Prof M Rangacharya, Mr C N Krishnaswamy Aiyar, Prof Rajagopalachari and Prof S. Subba Rao

Price Rs 2 To Subs of the "IR' Re, 18

### Ramanand to Ram Tirath

SAINTS OF N. INDIA INCLUDING THE SIKH GURUS

This new book, as its title suggests, recounts the lives and teachings of the Saints of Northern India from Ramanand to Ram Tirath It includes sketches of Ramanand and Kabir, Nanak and the Sikh Gurus, of Ravi Das the Chamar Saint, of Mira Bailthe ascetic queen of Chitor, Vallabhacharya, Tulsidas, Virajanand, Dayanand and Rama Tirath.

Price Re 1-8. To Subs- of the "I,R" Re 1-4.

G. A. NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

# The First Four Califs

#### BY AHMED SHAFI

The pioneering work inaugurated by the Prophet had to be faithfully carried on by his successors against many odds. The difficulties that they encountered and the final success achieved by the first four Califs are given in this book. The beginnings of the democratic polity for which Muhammadanism coutributed not a little are also dwelt at length. Contents Abu Bakr, Omar, Osman and Ali

Re One To Subs. of "Indian Review". As 12

### Life and Teachings of Muhammad

BY AHMED SHAFI AND YAKUB HASAN

In this brief sketch an attempt is made to present an outline of the chief incidents that marked the career of a great world-personality. The Teachings of the Prophet will be particularly interesting

Price As 12. To Subs. of the "I R." As 10.

### Temples, Churches and Mosques

#### BY YAKUB HASAN

In this remarkable volume, Mr. Yakub Hasan has attempted to give a kaleidoscopic view of the world's architecture. Every style of Temple, Church, or Mosque architecture is described at length to the accompaniment of no less than 68 illustrations.

Swarajya 'A useful companion to the lay reader.'
New India 'A very remarkable production.'

Telegraph 'Highly interesting & richly informing.'

Price Re 1-8. To Subs. of the "IR" Re 1-4

# Indian IR. Review

### IF YOU WANT

#### TO BE IN TOUCH WITH INDIA

her political, social and industrial activities, her historytradition and literature; her religion and philosophyher hopes and aspirations for the future, and the men and women who labour for the attainment of her ideal.

YOU MUST SUBSCRIBE TO

### THE INDIAN REVIEW

THE BEST, THE CHEAPEST AND THE MOST UP-TO-DATE MONTHLY MAGAZINE

### EDITED BY MR. G A. NATESAN.

The Indian Review is an All India Monthly Magazine devoted to the discussion of all topics of general interest with special reference to India It caters to the taste of all classes \*\* \* Please note that a large and varied sollection of Books on Indian Political, Industrial, Agricultural, Social and Religious Literature, Biographies, and the Speeches and Writings of Eminent Indians and Friends of India are offered at special concession rates to subscribers of the Indian Review

Review, send your name and address with a Fouranna (6d) postage stamp for a free specimen copy.

Single copy: Eight Annas—One Shilling.

Annual Subsn. Indian: Rs. 5. Foreign. 12 sh.

Books are given at concession rates only to subscribers of the Indian Review Any one who wishes to buy books at concession rates must remit Rs Five (13sh Foreign) one year's subscription to the "Review" in advance

G. A. NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS.

### CONGRESS PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESSES

లక్ష్మం<del>యాల్పులులు ప్రలయంత్పులులు ప్రలయంతోలు మార్చింటులు ప్రలయంతోలు మార్చింటులు ప్రతియాలు ప్రతియాలు</del>

#### FROM THE SILVER TO THE GOLDEN JUBILEE

Second Series from 1911 to 1934

In response to a growing demand for a complete collection of Congress Presidential Addresses, the Publishers have issued this Volume containing full text of the Addresses of the following Presidents of the Congress from 1911 down to this day ---

of the Congress, from 1911 down to this day—Contents: Pt Bishan Narayan Dhar, R N Mudholkar, Nawab Syed Mohammed, Babu Bhupendranath Basu, Sir S. P Sinha, Amvica Charan Mazumdar, Mrs Annie Besant, Pt Madan Mohan Malaviya, Pandit Motilal Nehru, C Vijayaraghavachariar, Hakim Ajmal Khan, Deshabandhu C R Das, Moulana Mohamed Ali, Mahatma Gandhi, Mrs Sarolini Naidu, Mr S Srinivasa Iyengar, Dr M A Ansari, Pandit Motilal Nehru, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, Sardar Vallabhai Patel, and Babu Rajendra Prasad Nearly a Thousand Pages

Rs 4 To Subs of the "Indian Remeio", Rs 3-S Foreign 6sh Postage 1sh 6 l

#### UNIFORM WITH THE ABOVE

### **Congress Presidential Addresses**

From the Foundation to the Silver Jubilee
First Series from 1885 to 1910

W C Bonnerji, Dadabhai Naoroji, Budruddin Tyabji, George Yule, Sir William Wedderburn, Pherozesha Mehta, P Ananda Charlu, W C Bonnerji, Dadabhai Naoroji, Alfred Webb, Surendranath Banerjee, R M Sayani, C Sankaran Nair, A M Bose, R C Dutt, N G Chandavarkar, D E Wacha, Surendranath Banerjee, Lal Mohan Ghose, Sir Henry Cotton, G K Gokhale, Dadabhai Naoroji, Dr R B Ghose (Surat), Dr R B Ghose (Surat), Pt Madan Mohan Malaviya, Sir Wm Wedderburn Indexed & Cloth Bound

Rs 4 To Subs of the "Indian Review", Rs 3-8

Foreign 6sh Postage 1sh 6d

All Foreign orders must be accompanied with remittance

G. A NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS

# YALMIKI RAMAYANA

CONDENSED IN THE POET'S OWN WORDS

Text in Devanagari and English Translation

'BY VIDYASAGARA-VIDYAVACHASHATI

PROF P P S SASTRI, B A, (OXON.), M A.

FORE WORD

BY

THE RT HON V S SRINIVASA SASTRI, PC, CH, LLD

Mr Natesan, who published over a quarter of a century ago a popular edition of the Bhagavad Gita and also of the Select Works of Sri Sankaracharya with the text in Devanagari and an English translation, has now enriched Indian classical literature with another valuable publication quite unique in character. He has succeeded in the almost impossible task of presenting a condensed version in the poet's own words of the immortal Indian spic Valmiki Ramayana The stant is told by the poet the passages placed b before the reader himself. are selected passages from the poem itself We have the story of the epic in full, together with the reonwned dialogues, the beautiful character sketches and the many inimitable descriptions nothing in this abridgment which is not Valmiki's

It is sincerely hoped that this popular edition of the Great Indian Fpic will find its way to thousands of homes in India and elsewhere and will find favour with the students of our schools and colleges

### Price Re 1/4

To Subscribers of the "Indian Review", Re 1
Foreign 2sh Postage 8d

Books are given at concession rates only to subsoff the "Indian Review". Any one who wishes to buy books at concession rates must remit Rs 5 (Five) one year's subscription to the "Indian Review" in advance. Foreign Subscriptions: Great Britain 12 sh (Twelve Shillings), U.S. A. 3 Dollars.

G. A. NATESAN & CO, PUBLISHERS, MADRAS